

Evaluation of XRF as a Screening Tool for Metals and PBDEs in Children's Products and Consumer Goods



January 2012 Publication No. 12-03-009

Publication and Contact Information

This report is available on the Department of Ecology's website at www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/1203009.html.

Data for this project are available by request. Please contact Callie Mathieu, 360-407-6965 or <u>callie.mathieu@ecy.wa.gov</u>.

The Activity Tracker Code for this study is 11-086.

For more information contact:

Publications Coordinator Environmental Assessment Program P.O. Box 47600, Olympia, WA 98504-7600 Phone: (360) 407-6764

Washington State Department of Ecology - www.ecy.wa.gov/

| 0 | Headquarters, Olympia | (360) 407-6000 |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| 0 | Northwest Regional Office, Bellevue | (425) 649-7000 |
| 0 | Southwest Regional Office, Olympia | (360) 407-6300 |
| 0 | Central Regional Office, Yakima | (509) 575-2490 |
| 0 | Eastern Regional Office, Spokane | (509) 329-3400 |

Cover photo: Niton XRF Analyzer and Stand.

Any use of product or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the author or the Department of Ecology.

If you need this document in a format for the visually impaired, call 360-407-6764. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341.

Evaluation of XRF as a Screening Tool for Metals and PBDEs in Children's Products and Consumer Goods

by

Chad Furl, Callie Mathieu, and Tanya Roberts

Environmental Assessment Program Washington State Department of Ecology Olympia, Washington 98504-7710 This page is purposely left blank

Table of Contents

| <u>P</u> | Page |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| List of Figures | 5 |
| List of Tables | 6 |
| Abstract | 7 |
| Acknowledgements | 8 |
| Introduction XRF Background Study Goals and Objectives | 10 |
| Study Design | 12 |
| Methods Sample Collection XRF Analyses and Sample Preprocessing XRF Measurements Initial Screening Sample Selection Material Isolation Screening Cryomilling Laboratory Procedures Metals Analysis PBDE Analysis | 13 13 13 14 14 15 15 15 16 |
| Data Quality XRF Laboratory Metals PBDEs | 17 17 18 |
| Results and Discussion XRF Results XRF Detection Limits XRF Screening Results XRF Data Quality XRF vs. Lab - Metals Laboratory Results XRF Comparison to Laboratory Metals Results XRF as a Screening Tool for CSPA metals. XRF vs. Lab - PBDEs Laboratory Results XRF Bromine Comparison to PBDE Results XRF as a Screening Tool for PBDEs | 19 20 21 24 24 25 29 30 31 35 |
| Conclusions | 37 |

| Recommendations | 38 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| References | 39 |
| Appendices | 41 |
| Appendix A. Glossary, Acronyms, and Abbreviations | 43 |
| Appendix B. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Results | 45 |
| Appendix C. Initial XRF Screening Concentrations by Product Type | 52 |
| Appendix D. Regressions for Laboratory and XRF results | 54 |
| Appendix E. XRF and Laboratory Results for Metal Analytes | 58 |
| Appendix F. XRF and Laboratory Results for Bromine and PBDEs | 69 |

List of Figures

| Figure 1. | Niton XL3t Handheld XRF. | .10 |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 2. | Categorical Descriptions of Items Collected for XRF Testing | .12 |
| Figure 3. | Range of Detection Limits for XRF Measurements | .19 |
| Figure 4. | Box-plot Displaying Initial Screening XRF Results Determined as False Positives | .27 |
| Figure 5. | Linear Regression Plots between Initial XRF 30-second Hand Screening and Laboratory Results (log ₁₀ normalized values). | .28 |
| Figure 6. | Minimum, Maximum, and Interquartile Ranges of Relative Percent Differences (RPDs) between Laboratory Measurements and XRF Screening Methods. | .29 |
| Figure 7. | XRF-measured Bromine and Laboratory-quantified Total PBDEs in Children's Products with >1000 ppm XRF Bromine | .32 |
| Figure 8. | Linear Regression Plot Between Log ₁₀ Normalized Values for XRF- Measured Bromine and Laboratory PBDE Results | .34 |
| Figure 9. | Distribution of Relative Percent Differences Between XRF-Measured Bromine and PBDE Laboratory Results | .35 |

List of Tables

| Table 1. Laboratory Techniques for Metals Analysis | 16 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table 2. Measurement Quality Objectives for Laboratory Analyses. | 17 |
| Table 3. Statistical Summary of > LOD XRF Results for Initial 30-second Screening $(n = 1178)$. | 20 |
| Table 4. Relative Percent Differences in Duplicate Screenings of Plastic Products | 21 |
| Table 5. Relative Percent Differences in Duplicate Screenings of Metal Products | 21 |
| Table 6. Relative Standard Deviations (RSDs) in Triplicate Screenings of Metal Products. | 22 |
| Table 7. Relative Standard Deviations (RSDs) in Triplicate Screenings of Plastic Products. | 23 |
| Table 8. XRF Measurements of Standards in Metal Matrix (ppm). | 23 |
| Table 9. XRF Measurements of Standards in Plastic Matrix (ppm) | 23 |
| Table 10. Statistical Summary of Detected Laboratory Results for Analysis of Plastics Products. | 24 |
| Table 11. Statistical Summary of Detected Laboratory Results for Analysis of Metal Products. | 24 |
| Table 12. False Positive and False Negative Results for Each XRF Screening Method | 26 |
| Table 13. Linear Regression Results for XRF and Laboratory Measurements (log ₁₀ normalized values). | 27 |
| Table 14. Statistical Summary of >LOD Laboratory PBDE Results | 30 |
| Table 15. Comparison of Bromine and PBDE Detections. | 31 |
| Table 16. Linear Regression Results for XRF-Measured Bromine and Laboratory | |
| PBDE Results | 33 |

.

Abstract

In 2011, the Department of Ecology conducted a study to compare handheld x-ray fluorescence (XRF) and laboratory measurements of contaminant levels in children's products and consumer goods to assess the usefulness of XRF technology as a screening tool. XRF screenings were conducted on individual components from over 300 children's products and consumer goods for a suite of analytes: antimony, arsenic, bromine, cadmium, cobalt, lead, mercury, and molybdenum.

Seventy-two samples were forwarded to the laboratory for analysis of all above analytes except bromine, using traditional techniques. Fifty-seven of those samples were digested using a total decomposition method and were used in the comparison to XRF data. In addition, 68 samples were measured for 6 PBDE congeners (BDE-47, -99, -100, -153, -154, and -209) to compare with XRF-measured bromine results.

Overall, handheld XRF readings provided adequate results for metal analytes if care was taken in sample pre-processing. The XRF analyzer produced a large number of false positives for the metal analytes when products were measured on non-isolated components by hand. Very few false negatives occurred in the analysis. XRF readings on isolated components of products compared well with laboratory results, with r^2 typically > 0.90. If XRF is used to screen intact products, samples should be isolated and measured in a stand to confirm the original analysis.

The majority of samples with XRF-measured bromine did not contain PBDEs above detection limits. When both XRF-measured bromine and PBDEs were present, poor relationships $(r^2 < 0.50)$ and high sample-specific relative percent differences were found. PBDEs represented a very small fraction of the total bromine present. There were no cases where PBDEs were detected in absence of XRF-measured bromine, indicating that XRF may be useful as a screening tool to identify samples that *could* contain PBDEs.

Acknowledgements

The authors of this report thank the following people for their contribution to this study:

- Pam Nafsinger with ThermoFisher Niton provided guidance on XRF usage.
- Paul Perotti and Dave Dickinson with Rhode Island Analytical performed PBDE analyses and sample processing.
- Staff at the Department of Ecology's Manchester Environmental Laboratory for sample processing, metals analysis, and data quality review.
- Dale Norton, Randy Coots, Josh Grice, Holly Davies, John Williams, Carol Kraege, and Alex Stone for project guidance and draft reviews.
- Jean Maust, Cindy Cook, and Joan LeTourneau for final report editing and publishing.

Introduction

In 2008, the Children's Safe Product Act (CSPA) was signed into Washington State law (Children's Safe Product Act, 70.240 RCW) to reduce children's exposure to toxic chemicals. A portion of the law required the Department of Ecology (Ecology), in consultation with the Department of Health, to create a list of chemicals that, when present in children's products, manufacturers must report. In response, the CSPA Reporting Rule was recently created and identifies 66 chemicals and classes of chemicals of high concern (Chapter 173-334 WAC). Reporting requirements will begin with the largest manufacturers who make products intended for mouth or skin contact. Other manufacturers will begin reporting in a phased-in schedule included in the rule.

In 2007, the legislature passed Washington State's Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether (PBDE) law (PBDE Rule, Chapter 70.76 RCW) in part due to the findings of the PBDE chemical action plan (Peele, 2006). The final portion of the PBDE law became effective in January of 2011, placing restrictions on all three primary PBDE formulations. Penta-BDE and octa-BDE formulations were banned completely; deca-BDE was banned in mattresses, televisions, computers, and residential upholstered furniture. For practical purposes, the "ban" limited concentrations of PBDEs to less than 1000 ppm (0.1%) (Ecology, 2008).

In order to reduce the cost associated with enforcement of both laws, Ecology is exploring the use of a portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometer to pre-screen samples for laboratory analysis. The ease of use, time, and cost savings of XRFs for measuring inorganic elements has made the instruments increasingly popular. The purpose of the present study is to determine the efficacy of XRF for screening of selected metals and PBDEs in consumer goods.

XRF Background

XRF works by dislodging lower energy level electrons with an x-ray beam. The vacated lower energy orbitals are replaced by electrons from higher energy orbitals, releasing a characteristic energy of the type of atom present. XRF interprets the energy signal to calculate elemental concentrations (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 2009). Figure 1 displays the Niton XL3t handheld XRF analyzer used in the study.

The use of XRF methods for determining metals in media such as soils and metal alloys has been well established. With recent regulations in the U.S. and European Union restricting certain elements in consumer products, there has been increasing interest in using XRF as a non-destructive screening tool for matrices such as plastics, textiles, and metals. Several studies have examined the comparability of XRF analyses with traditional laboratory measurements in consumer product samples (e.g. Cobb, 2009; USACE, 2008; TPCH, 2011; Allen et al., 2008).

The development of methods and guidance concerning the use of XRF for analysis of metals in consumer products is also growing. ASTM International published a standard test method for the identification and quantification of several metals in homogenous polymeric material using energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry in 2008 (ASTM F 2617-08). A Standard Operating Procedure of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission allows the use of XRF for determining lead in polymeric materials, with certain limitations (CPSC-CH-E1002-08). Guidance from the European Union allows for screening of regulated elements in consumer products by XRF (REGD V.1, 2006).

This study evaluates the performance of an XRF analyzer for a variety of elements included in the CSPA reporting rule (antimony, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, molybdenum, and mercury) and lead by comparing them to laboratory measurements. The relationship between XRF-measured bromine and laboratory-measured PBDEs is also examined.



Figure 1. Niton XL3t Handheld XRF.

Study Goals and Objectives

In response to the CSPA Reporting Rule and PBDE ban, the Environmental Assessment Program conducted a study measuring 6 potentially toxic metals required to be reported under the CSPA reporting rule (antimony, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, molybdenum, and mercury), lead, and 6 PBDE congeners (BDE-47, 99, 100, 153, 154, 209) in children's products. The primary goal of the study is to assess the usefulness of XRF methods as a screening tool for the metals of interest and for bromine to indicate the possibility of PBDE presence in children's products and consumer goods.

Specific objectives were to:

- 1. Take an initial screening of individual components from approximately 300 products with the handheld XRF analyzer. Components are not isolated from the original product during this screening; multiple measurements are made on the same product without disassembly.
- 2. Measure approximately 70 isolated product components under a variety of XRF operating conditions for the metals of interest in the CSPA rule. Analyze the same samples for CSPA metals using traditional laboratory techniques.
- 3. Measure approximately 70 isolated product components under a variety of XRF operating conditions for bromine. Analyze the same samples for PBDEs using traditional laboratory techniques.
- 4. Compare results of XRF and laboratory measurements of metal analytes to assess XRF precision and accuracy.
- 5. Determine if XRF can be used to evaluate the possibility of PBDE presence in consumer products by testing for bromine.
- 6. Make recommendations concerning the use of XRF as a screening tool.

Study Design

Products were collected from 7 Puget Sound area retailers, an internet retailer, and an electronics recycling facility. Figure 2 displays a categorical breakdown of the items selected. Detailed information on product selection, product screening, and target chemicals is provided in the Quality Assurance (QA) Project Plan for this study (Furl, 2011).



Figure 2. Categorical Descriptions of Items Collected for XRF Testing.

Items were screened using a Niton XL3t handheld XRF spectrometer rented from the manufacturer. Based on the screening results, a subset of samples covering a wide range of concentrations was chosen for laboratory analysis. XRF measurements were repeated on samples chosen for laboratory analysis under a variety of sample processing methods and XRF operating conditions.

Laboratory analytes for metals included antimony, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, lead, mercury, and molybdenum. PBDE samples were measured for BDE-47, -99, -100, -153, -154, and -209.

Methods

Sample Collection

In total, 316 new products were collected. A wide variety of product types were included, mostly focusing on those targeted for children between the ages of 0-5 years. Products were selected based on targeted use population (for example toddlers or infants) and type of use, such as play toy, apparel, food ware, or bedding. Selections included a variety of material types and colors.

Additionally, 36 electronics housings salvaged from a local recycling facility were collected in order to provide samples likely to contain PBDEs. Product manufacturing dates, when available, were considered in the selection of these components in order to find components manufactured prior to the PBDE ban. Recycled electronics were also collected to ensure data would exist with which to compare PBDE results and XRF measurements of bromine. It was anticipated very few new products would contain PBDEs due to legislation banning their sale and due to voluntary product withdrawal by industry.

Collected samples were brought back to Ecology headquarters where they were removed from their packaging and assigned a unique alphanumeric number. A photograph of each item was taken and product details were collected such as country of manufacture, manufacturer, distributer, Universal Product Code, SKU code, intended age for toy, and matrix type. Samples were stored in a secure chain of custody room until forwarded to the laboratory.

XRF Analyses and Sample Preprocessing

XRF Measurements

XRF measurements were conducted four different ways to examine the effect of sample processing and XRF settings. First, an initial screening was conducted, followed by material isolation screenings by hand and in-stand, and lastly cryomilled materials were measured. *By hand* refers to measurements made while holding the XRF analyzer in hand and manually pulling a trigger. Measurements can also be made *in-stand* where the analyzer is docked in a stationary stand and remotely activated. *Cryomilling* refers to the process of reducing a sample to very small particle sizes by employing cryogenic temperatures and a mechanical mill. Further details on each of the measurements are included below. All samples were measured in Niton's TestAll® mode.

Initial Screening

All components of each individual item underwent an initial 30-second handheld XRF screening. Components were defined as items with different colors or base materials including materials not meant to be handled, e.g., stuffing from pillows or comforters. During the initial screening, subcomponents were measured while still attached to the original product, i.e., not isolated. Data collected were merged with the spreadsheet constructed during sample cataloguing, using the unique alphanumeric number.

During the initial screening effort, 30 items were randomly selected for duplicate analyses. Duplicates were performed for approximately the same amount of time as the original analysis. Here and throughout the project, duplicate (and triplicate) analyses were performed by taking an additional reading immediately after the original analysis without moving the analyzer.

Sample Selection

Seventy-two sample components were selected for further XRF screening and laboratory analysis of metal analytes. To determine which products to further analyze, results from the initial 30-second screening were reviewed for a range of concentrations and analytes. Items were selected for metals analysis if the XRF indicated an appreciable amount of one of the metals of interest.

A range of concentrations for each element were selected from near XRF limits of detection (LODs) to several thousand ppm. Products from both the high and low end of the concentration spectrums were included to gauge performance at both ends. Additionally, a mix representing all material types (plastics, metals, textiles), product types (play toy, apparel, bedding, food ware) and retailers were selected from the products exhibiting detections. Each sample selected was analyzed for all seven elements of interest.

Sixty-eight samples were selected for PBDE analysis, based on bromine content detected during the screening phase. In addition to high concentration samples (primarily recycled electronics), approximately 20 samples with low or no detected bromine were analyzed for PBDEs to examine the possibility of false negatives.

Material Isolation Screening

After samples were selected for screening, the specific component of interest was isolated from the product. This was done in order to remove interfering materials which may have confounded the initial XRF screening. Components were removed with clean (nitric- and acetone-rinsed) stainless steel tools including scissors, saws, and a handheld rotary tool.

The isolated material was re-examined by the XRF for 60 seconds by handheld measurements and for 60 seconds using the XRF bench-top stand. Materials were folded or stacked when necessary to provide a thickness of at least 0.5 cm.

A subset of the samples forwarded to the laboratory was measured in 30, 60, and 90 second increments to assess precision across different timeframes. These measurements were carried out during the isolated material XRF screenings both by hand and in the stand.

Cryomilling

Plastic samples were shipped to Toy Testing Inc. where they were cryomilled following established laboratory guidelines (Toy Testing Lab, 2010). Milled samples were returned to Ecology and measured by XRF in the stand (hereafter referred to as "powder" analysis).

Samples that could not be milled by the laboratory (fabrics, foams, and metals) were cut into small pieces (<1 cm) and forwarded to the laboratory. Cryomilling could not be done on foam products because small pieces of foam clump together even under extremely low temperature. Fabric pieces were also not suitable for cryomilling because the mill uses a ball bearing in the vessel to grind the sample; fabric pieces can bind together and be packed by the ball rather than pulverized. Metal materials are also not compatible with cryomilling because metal substrates would damage the cryo vessel.

Laboratory Procedures

Metals Analysis

Antimony, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, lead, and molybdenum were analyzed at Ecology's Manchester Environmental Laboratory (MEL) using inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Mercury samples were analyzed using cold vapor atomic absorption (CVAA).

Samples were prepared using either EPA Method 3052 (using hydrochloric acid in lieu of hydrofluoric acid) or EPA method 3050B (except for mercury analyses). Method 3052 uses microwave assist and concentrated hydrochloric acid in order to completely digest the sample, while method 3050B uses a hot plate and acid for digestion and is considered a leaching test. Digestion method is an important consideration when comparing to XRF results. XRF results report a total concentration and are analogous to the 3052 method.

Fifty-seven of the samples selected for laboratory analysis consisted of non-metal materials (plastics, textiles, rubber, and foam) and were prepared using EPA method 3052 (using hydrochloric acid in lieu of hydrofluoric acid). Fifteen samples consisted of metal material and were prepared following EPA method 3050B because MEL's policy dictates that metal materials are not compatible with their microwave hardware.

A small portion of the samples (n = 6) were digested using EPA method 3052 with hydrofluoric acid by Brooks Rand Laboratory. These samples consisted of siliceous materials (glass and silicones) where concentrated hydrochloric acid was not sufficient to completely digest the material.

Mercury samples were digested and analyzed following EPA method 245.5. With this method, microwave assist is not used and the digestion technique is more similar to 3050B than 3052.

Table 1 displays the preparation and analysis techniques.

| Product matrix | Analyte | Preparation | Analysis | Laboratory |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| All types | Hg | EPA 245.5 | EPA 245.5 - CVAA | MEL |
| Non-metal | As, Cd, Co, Mo, Pb, Sb | EPA* 3052 | EPA 200.8 - ICP MS | MEL |
| Non-metal | As, Cd, Co, Mo, Pb, Sb | EPA^ 3052 | EPA 200.8 - ICP MS | Brooks Rand |
| Metal | As, Cd, Co, Mo, Pb, Sb | EPA 3050B | EPA 200.8 - ICP MS | MEL |

Table 1. Laboratory Techniques for Metals Analysis.

* With hydrochloric acid in lieu of hydrofluoric

^ With hydrofluoric acid

CVAA - cold vapor atomic absorption

ICP MS - inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry

See appendix A for metals abbreviations

PBDE Analysis

PBDEs (-47, 99, 100, 153, 154, and 209) were analyzed by Rhode Island Analytical (RIAL) in six separate batches. Samples were extracted by Soxhlet extraction following modifications of EPA SW-846 3540. Samples were concentrated, acid cleaned, and analyzed by gas chromatography electron capture detection (GC/ECD) using dual column confirmation. Numerous samples were also confirmed using gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GCMS) (data not shown).

Data Quality

XRF

The accuracy and precision of XRF results were evaluated through the analysis of quality assurance measures and how well they compared to laboratory results. Quality assurance measures included duplicates, triplicates, and analysis of certified standards. Results of XRF data quality measures are included in the *Results and Discussion* section.

Laboratory

MEL prepared written case narratives assessing the quality of the laboratory data collected for the project. The reviews included a description of the methods and an assessment of holding times, initial and continuing calibrations, method blanks, matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, laboratory control samples, and surrogate recoveries. Case narratives are available upon request. Measurement quality objective (MQO) targets outlined in the QA Project Plan are shown in Table 2 and reviewed below. Complete results of all MQOs are shown in Appendix C.

| | Laboratory Control Samples (recovery) | Matrix Spikes (recovery) | Duplicates† (RPD) | Method Blanks* (ppm) | Surrogate Recovery (recovery) |
|------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Antimony | 85 - 115% | 75 - 125% | ± 20% | 4 | |
| Arsenic | 85 - 115% | 75 - 125% | ± 20% | 2 | |
| Cadmium | 85 - 115% | 75 - 125% | ± 20% | 2 | |
| Cobalt | 85 - 115% | 75 - 125% | ± 20% | 2 | |
| Lead | 85 - 115% | 75 - 125% | ± 20% | 2 | |
| Mercury | 85 - 115% | 75 - 125% | ± 20% | 0.1 | |
| Molybdenum | 85 - 115% | 75 - 125% | ± 20% | 2 | |
| PBDEs | 40 - 140% | 40 - 140% | ± 25% | 1 | 30 - 150% |

Table 2. Measurement Quality Objectives for Laboratory Analyses.

† Matrix spike duplicates and split duplicates

* Metals reporting limits were estimated by raising soil limits by a factor of 20

RPD: Relative percent difference

ppm: parts per million

Metals

Data for the metals analysis were generally within the MQO targets outlined in Table 2. Instances where MQOs were not achieved or standard laboratory procedures were outside of acceptance limits included:

- Several calibration checks (continuous and blank) were outside of acceptance limits resulting in qualifying the data as estimated.
- A single pair of antimony duplicates was outside of MQOs. The native sample was qualified as an estimate.
- Several matrix spikes for antimony, lead, and mercury were outside of MQOs.

Samples analyzed by Brooks Rand with the hydrofluoric digestion were within MQOs with the exception of a single matrix spike for cadmium. The source sample was qualified "J" as an estimate.

PBDEs

The majority of MQOs were met for PBDE analyses with several exceptions.

- Numerous surrogate recoveries were outside of the 30-150% limits set.
- LCS samples were recovered high in two of the batches.
- Matrix spike recoveries were low for sample #AZ1308 (BDE 209) and high for #DT0404 (BDE 209).

Additionally, instrument calibration procedures were outside of laboratory-identified targets in several instances. This, along with the MQO violations, resulted in many results being qualified as estimates.

Results and Discussion

XRF Results

XRF Detection Limits

Figure 3 displays the spread of XRF detection limits for plastic and metal matrices during the initial 30-second screening phase. "Plastic" matrices include all non-metal matrices such as textiles and foams. Limits of Detection (LODs) were calculated by multiplying the XRF-reported 2 sigma error by 1.5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific - personal communication). Detection limits vary greatly depending on sample matrix and testing time.



Figure 3. Range of Detection Limits for XRF Measurements.

Top and bottom lines of rectangles represent 75^{th} and 25^{th} percentiles, respectively. Data include only initial 30-second screening measurements and any values > LOD were excluded.

"Plastics" includes all non-metal product matrices, including textiles and foams. Y axis is on logarithmic scale.

Median LODs for the elements shown in Figure 3 ranged from 9-50 ppm in plastic products and from 52-307 ppm in metal matrices. Minimum LODs achieved in plastic samples were less than 10 ppm for all elements except for cobalt (17 ppm) and antimony (13 ppm). For metal samples, minimum LODs were more variable and ranged from 4-75 ppm. Minimum LODs were likely achieved in low-density matrices with minimal interference. Detection limits differed greatly between the metal and plastic matrices (note difference in logarithmic scale in Figure 3).

Median LODs in the initial screening of plastic products were within the range of the manufacturer-stated LODs for polymers, with one exception. Antimony measurements had a higher median LOD than the manufacturer's range. Median LODs from metal products were below the manufacturer-stated LODs (based on a tin matrix), with the exception of mercury (297 ppm) and lead (307 ppm), which were higher.

Detection limits for plastic matrices were generally lower than the 100 ppm reporting threshold for contaminants in children's products established in the rule (173-334 WAC). Ninety-one percent of cobalt LODs were below 100 ppm, and 98-100% of all other element readings were below 100 ppm. Detection limits for metal products were much higher, with the exception of bromine and cadmium. Only 1% of cobalt LOD measurements were below 100 ppm, and less than 50% of arsenic, mercury, lead, antimony, and molybdenum LODs were below 100 ppm.

The detection limits described above were achieved using an XRF testing time of 30 seconds. For most matrices, increasing the analysis time will reduce the detection limits by the square root of the increased time. Additional analyses would be needed to quantify achievable detection limits at longer testing times.

XRF Screening Results

In total, 1178 components from 316 individual children's products and 36 recycled electronics samples were screened using handheld XRF. Summary statistics for results that were greater than the XRF limit of detection (LOD) are provided below in Table 3.

| | Arsenic (ppm) | Bromine (ppm) | Cadmium (ppm) | Cobalt (ppm) | Mercury (ppm) | Molybdenum (ppm) | Lead (ppm) | Antimony (ppm) |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| % > LOD | 7.9% | 40.7% | 6.1% | 6.0% | 3.1% | 0.3% | 12.1% | 32.5% |
| Minimum | 4.70 | 2.43 | 7.05 | 21.0 | 51.0 | 274 | 6.06 | 14.7 |
| 25th Percentile | 25.6 | 8.02 | 14.3 | 54.6 | 278 | 1140 | 32.0 | 70.4 |
| Median | 96.8 | 16.6 | 56.4 | 183 | 760 | 1450 | 82.5 | 130 |
| Mean | 300 | 3800 | 428 | 2450 | 1010 | 1270 | 2230 | 1600 |
| 75th Percentile | 283 | 62.8 | 122 | 3020 | 1280 | 1580 | 266 | 171 |
| Maximum | 5250 | 127000 | 6150 | 24900 | 6880 | 1890 | 203000 | 43000 |

Table 3. Statistical Summary of > LOD XRF Results for Initial 30-second Screening (n = 1178).

Bromine and antimony were the most frequently detected elements. Detections for the remaining elements were infrequent. Lead was the only other element detected at a rate greater than 10%. Due to XRF limitations, molybdenum results were limited to products constructed of metal material. The XRF analyzer uses molybdenum as the main filter material when analyzing plastics, which excludes low level detections of molybdenum in a non-metal sample.

In children's products, jewelry samples contained the highest median concentrations of arsenic, cadmium, and lead. The highest levels of bromine and cobalt were measured in kid's furniture products. Foams from chairs and couches were high in bromine and several metal furniture frames tested high in cobalt. Antimony was detected the most frequently and at the highest levels in clothing, which included sleepwear and bedding such as sleeping bags. Bromine was detected most frequently in clothing, followed by furniture and toys. Products were not randomly selected and therefore should not be considered a representative sampling of products on the market. Summary statistics of initial screening measurements by product type are included in Appendix D.

XRF Data Quality

Duplicates/Triplicates

Precision of the XRF data were examined through analysis of duplicate measures. Samples were also screened in triplicate to assess precision of screening methods as a function of time. Duplicate and triplicate measurements were taken in succession, without moving the sample between screenings.

Initial screening duplicates

Measurements were duplicated for six metal products and twenty-four plastic products during the initial screening process. Duplicates were run for approximately the same amount of time by hand. Relative percent differences (RPDs) for duplicates are shown in Tables 4 and 5.

Table 4. Relative Percent Differences in Duplicate Screenings of Plastic Products.

| Element | n | # < LOD | # NC | # > LOD | mean RPD |
|----------|----|------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Arsenic | 24 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 24.4% |
| Bromine | 24 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 10.2% |
| Cadmium | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | |
| Cobalt | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mercury | 24 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 39.3% |
| Lead | 24 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 20.1% |
| Antimony | 24 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 13.4% |

LOD: limit of detection (< LOD indicates both samples were below detection limits).

NC: not calculated because one of the two samples was below detection limits and one was quantified.

| Element | n | # < LOD | # NC | # > LOD | mean RPD |
|------------|---|------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Arsenic | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2.1% |
| Bromine | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6.7% |
| Cadmium | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 11.0% |
| Cobalt | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mercury | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | |
| Lead | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2.5% |
| Antimony | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8.2% |
| Molybdenum | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | |

Table 5. Relative Percent Differences in Duplicate Screenings of Metal Products.

LOD: limit of detection (< LOD indicates both samples were below detection limits).

NC: not calculated because one of the two samples was below detection limits and one was quantified.

Only four elements were above the LOD in at least one set of duplicate samples on metal products: arsenic, cadmium, lead, and antimony. Mean RPDs for these elements were low, indicating good precision.

Analytes in plastics were also infrequently detected in the duplicates, except for antimony, which was quantified in almost half of the duplicate samples. Duplicate RPDs for arsenic, lead, and antimony were higher for plastics than metal products although they remained less than 25%. Mercury was detected in only one pair of measurements and results indicated poor precision (39% RPD) in that pair.

Triplicates

Relative standard deviations (RSDs) in triplicate measurements consisting of 30-, 60-, and 90second intervals are shown in Tables 6 and 7. The triplicate analyses were performed on isolated components using the handheld method and in the stand to assess variability in XRF screenings due to measurement time.

Similar to the duplicate results, triplicate analyses using different time intervals produced an RSD typically < 25%. Little difference existed between RSDs for the stand and handheld methods. The low RSDs indicate that the XRF analyzer produced similar results regardless of testing time.

| Metals | Metals Triplicate Samples - Stand | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|---|------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Analyte | n | # < LOD | # NC | # > LOD | mean RSD | Analyte | n | # < LOD | # NC | # > LOD | mean RSD |
| Arsenic | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | | Arsenic | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| Bromine | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 12.3% | Bromine | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 18.2% |
| Cadmium | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 40.5% | Cadmium | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13.4% |
| Cobalt | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7.0% | Cobalt | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mercury | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | | Mercury | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| Molybdenum | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | | Molybdenum | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lead | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2.0% | Lead | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.3% |
| Antimony | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4.9% | Antimony | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6.7% |

Table 6. Relative Standard Deviations (RSDs) in Triplicate Screenings of Metal Products.

RSD: relative standard deviation.

#<LOD: number of cases where all measurements were below the detection limit.

#NC: number of cases where at least one of the measurements were below detection limits.

#> LOD: number of cases where all measurements were above the detection limit.

| Plas | Plastics Triplicate Samples - Stand | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|----------|----|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Analyte | n | # < LOD | # NC | # > LOD | mean RSD | Analyte | n | # < LOD | # NC | # >LOD | mean RSD |
| Arsenic | 21 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 7.8% | Arsenic | 21 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 10.7% |
| Bromine | 21 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 7.9% | Bromine | 21 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 4.0% |
| Cadmium | 21 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 16.5% | Cadmium | 21 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 14.7% |
| Cobalt | 21 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 25.7% | Cobalt | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mercury | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | | Mercury | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lead | 21 | 13 | 1 | 7 | 9.3% | Lead | 21 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 9.7% |
| Antimony | 21 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 8.4% | Antimony | 21 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 10.7% |

Table 7. Relative Standard Deviations (RSDs) in Triplicate Screenings of Plastic Products.

#<LOD: number of cases where all measurements were below the detection limit.

#NC: number of cases where at least one of the measurements were below detection limits.

#> LOD: number of cases where all measurements were above the detection limit.

Standards

In addition to comparison with laboratory results, XRF accuracy was assessed through reference samples provided by the XRF manufacturer. Reference samples consisted of metal and polyethylene disks. The metal and plastic reference standards were measured 51 and 48 times, respectively, throughout the project. The known concentration of the reference samples along with XRF results are shown in tables 8 and 9.

Table 8. XRF Measurements of Standards in Metal Matrix (ppm).

| Element | n | Standard conc. | XRF mean conc. | SD | RSD |
|---------|----|----------------|----------------|-----|------|
| Silver | 51 | 29000 | 29431 | 321 | 1.1% |
| Cadmium | 51 | 3300 | 3361 | 189 | 5.6% |
| Lead | 51 | 1400 | 1919 | 149 | 7.7% |
| Copper | 51 | 5000 | 4745 | 339 | 7.1% |

SD: standard deviation; RSD: relative standard deviation

Table 9. XRF Measurements of Standards in Plastic Matrix (ppm).

| Element | n | Standard conc. | Estimated error of Standard (95% CI) mg/kg | XRF mean conc. | SD | RSD |
|----------|----|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----|------|
| Bromine | 48 | 501 | ± 20 | 466 | 8 | 1.8% |
| Cadmium | 48 | 150 | ± 6 | 143 | 8 | 5.9% |
| Mercury | 48 | 999 | ± 40 | 858 | 19 | 2.2% |
| Lead | 48 | 1000 | ± 40 | 967 | 17 | 1.8% |
| Chromium | 48 | 1000 | ± 40 | 905 | 72 | 7.9% |

CI: confidence interval; SD: standard deviation; RSD: relative standard deviation

Mean RSD values were less than 10% for all elements in both matrices indicating good accuracy. Lead in the plastic standard was the only element to measure within the 95% confidence interval of the standard value. Confidence intervals were not provided with the metal standard.

XRF vs. Lab - Metals

Laboratory Results

Seventy-two individual components isolated from children's products were selected for laboratory analysis of metal analytes (arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, mercury, molybdenum, lead, and antimony). Fifty-seven of the samples were digested using the "plastics" method 3052 with microwave assist, and consisted of plastics, rubber, and textiles. The other fifteen samples were digested as "metal" products by method 3050B (leaching), which are not comparable to XRF readings. Tables 10 and 11 display statistical summaries of detected results for the plastic and metal products, respectively. The following summary includes only values above the laboratory detection limits, which varied but were typically less than 0.5 ppm.

| Plastics Statistics | Arsenic (ppm) | Cadmium (ppm) | Cobalt (ppm) | Mercury** (ppm) | Molybdenum (ppm) | Lead (ppm) | Antimony (ppm) |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| % > LOD | 53% | 49% | 46% | 23% | 21% | 89% | 74% |
| Minimum | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.76 |
| 25th Percentile | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 18.0 |
| Median | 2.05 | 6.90 | 1.44 | 0.01 | 1.70 | 3.15 | 95.1 |
| Mean | 436 | 133 | 25.0 | 0.04 | 20.0 | 432 | 763 |
| 75th Percentile | 10.0 | 29.8 | 7.37 | 0.06 | 2.86 | 123 | 200 |
| Maximum | 7840 | 2860 | 237 | 0.13 | 191 | 7470 | 16300 |

*Plastic products include all non-metal matrices and were prepared for ICP-MS analysis following EPA Method 3052 (less hydrofluoric acid).

**The digestion method for mercury in all matrices was a leaching method, EPA 245.5.

| Metals Statistics | Arsenic (ppm) | Cadmium (ppm) | Cobalt (ppm) | Mercury** (ppm) | Molybdenum (ppm) | Lead (ppm) | Antimony (ppm) |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| % > LOD | 26% | 16% | 21% | 11% | 11% | 26% | 19% |
| Minimum | 6.53 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 5.11 | 0.24 | 0.20 |
| 25th Percentile | 16.2 | 1.37 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 7.93 | 1.17 | 0.63 |
| Median | 17.6 | 7.42 | 21.0 | 0.03 | 22.4 | 18.3 | 2.10 |
| Mean | 45.6 | 544 | 32.1 | 0.03 | 23.4 | 7960 | 652 |
| 75th Percentile | 44.6 | 13.8 | 49.9 | 0.05 | 39.8 | 111 | 25.6 |
| Maximum | 236 | 4830 | 106 | 0.08 | 41.8 | 90800 | 5470 |

Table 11. Statistical Summary of Detected Laboratory Results for Analysis of Metal Products*.

*Metal products include only samples consisting of metal materials; these samples were prepared for analysis following EPA Method 3050B, which is a leaching test and not directly comparable to XRF data. **Mercury preparation followed EPA Method 245.5.

XRF Comparison to Laboratory Metals Results

The following section compares XRF results using the four different types of measurement – 30-second initial screening, 60-second handheld, 60-second in-stand, and 60-second cryoground – to laboratory analysis results. The following comparisons include only the 57 samples consisting of non-metal material (plastics, foams, rubber, and textiles). Non-metal materials were digested using method 3052 that results in complete decomposition of the sample and is comparable to XRF readings. The 15 metal material samples were prepared for laboratory analysis using a leaching method and are therefore not comparable to XRF data and are excluded from the following analysis.

Complete results of XRF measurements and lab results for arsenic, antimony, cadmium, cobalt, lead, mercury, and molybdenum are included in Appendix F.

False Positives and Negatives

A concern of using XRF is whether the gun erroneously indicates that a contaminant is present when in fact it is not (false positive) or vice versa (false negative). Each of the four XRF screening methods was compared to laboratory results to determine the frequency at which false readings occurred. A false positive was defined whereby the XRF gun recorded a value greater than the LOD when the laboratory result was less than 5 ppm. A false negative occurred when the XRF reading was less than LOD, but the laboratory measurement was greater than the XRF's detection limit. Table 12 displays the number and percentage of XRF readings that were determined to be false positives or false negatives by comparison with the laboratory data.

With the exception of antimony, a sizeable percentage of the initial screening results for each of the elements were false positives. During the 60-second handheld screening on isolated materials, false positives were present in arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, and lead screenings. No false positives were recorded for the powder screening and only 1 measurement was determined to be a false positive for the 60-second stand reading.

After material isolation, the percentage of results as false positives was greatly reduced; this suggests that interfering materials may result in false positives when components are measured intact with the original product. False negatives were not as problematic. Only 3 false negatives occurred between all elements and analysis types.

The initial screening false positives were not necessarily low concentrations near detection limits. Figure 5 shows the concentrations recorded by XRF which were determined to be false positives.

Median initial screening false positive values were above 100 ppm for each of the elements except arsenic and cadmium. The high concentrations of false positive measurements suggest that interferences may be responsible for the inaccurate readings.

| XRF Method | # Analyzed | # > LOD | # False Positives | % False Positive | # False Negatives | % False Negative | |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | Lead | | | | | | |
| Initial Screening | 57 | 26 | 7 | 27% | 0 | 0% | |
| 60s Hand | 50 | 18 | 2 | 11% | 1 | 6% | |
| 60s Stand | 55 | 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Powder | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| | | | Ars | enic | | | |
| Initial Screening | 57 | 14 | 6 | 43% | 0 | 0% | |
| 60s Hand | 50 | 9 | 4 | 44% | 0 | 0% | |
| 60s Stand | 55 | 10 | 1 | 10% | 0 | 0% | |
| Powder | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| | | | Co | balt | | | |
| Initial Screening | 57 | 8 | 6 | 75% | 1 | 13% | |
| 60s Hand | 50 | 3 | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | |
| 60s Stand | 55 | 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Powder | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| | | | Cadr | nium | | | |
| Initial Screening | 57 | 19 | 8 | 42% | 1 | 5% | |
| 60s Hand | 50 | 10 | 1 | 10% | 0 | 0% | |
| 60s Stand | 55 | 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Powder | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| | | | Mer | cury | | | |
| Initial Screening | 57 | 1 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| 60s Hand | 50 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | |
| 60s Stand | 55 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | |
| Powder | 20 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | |
| | | | Antir | mony | | | |
| Initial Screening | 57 | 34 | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% | |
| 60s Hand | 50 | 26 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| 60s Stand | 55 | 30 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Powder | 20 | 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |

 Table 12. False Positive and False Negative Results for Each XRF Screening Method.



Figure 4. Box-plot Displaying Initial Screening XRF Results Determined as False Positives. *Y axis is logarithmic scale.*

Top and bottom lines of rectangles represent 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively.

Regressions between Methods

Simple linear regressions were constructed for each element to evaluate relationships between laboratory results and the 4 different XRF screening methods. False positives and false negatives were included in the dataset for regressions. Where a measurement was not detected, half of the LOD was used. Data was log₁₀ transformed prior to regression analysis to improve normality of the data. Table 13 displays r² values on log₁₀ normalized data for each of the XRF reading methods. Figure 6 presents regressions on log₁₀ normalized values for the initial 30-second screening. Tables and Figures displaying full regression results for each of the XRF measurement types are included in Appendix D.

 Table 13. Linear Regression Results for XRF and Laboratory Measurements (log₁₀ normalized values).

| XRF method | Arsenic | | Arsenic | | Arsenic Cadmium Cobalt | | Lead | | Antimony | |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| | r ² (n) | p- value | r² (n) | p- value | r² (n) | p- value | r² (n) | p- value | r² (n) | p- value |
| Initial screening | .72 (14) | <0.001 | .28 (20) | 0.016 | 0.12 (8) | 0.401 | .44 (26) | <0.001 | .46 (34) | <0.001 |
| 60s hand | .09 (9) | 0.445 | .53 (10) | 0.017 | 0.99 (3) | 0.060 | .80 (19) | <0.001 | .90 (26) | <0.001 |
| 60s stand | .54 (10) | 0.015 | .69 (10) | 0.003 | .99 (5) | <0.001 | .93 (20) | <0.001 | .84 (30) | <0.001 |
| Powder | .96 (6) | <0.001 | 1 (3) | 0.024 | .99 (3) | 0.061 | .99 (10) | <0.001 | .97 (8) | <0.001 |

Bolded values indicate statistically significant relationship at alpha = 0.05.



Figure 5. Linear Regression Plots between Initial XRF 30-second Hand Screening and Laboratory Results (log₁₀ normalized values).

Dashed line indicates line of equality, solid lines indicate regression trendline.

Linear regressions on normalized data indicate that XRF and laboratory measurements correlated well when materials were isolated. The initial screening regressions showed weak relationships, primarily due to false positives (Figure 6). When false positives were removed from the dataset, the initial screening relationships were much stronger, ranging in r^2 from 0.67 – 0.99 (data not shown). Regression coefficient values generally increased as materials were isolated and the analysis further refined (i.e., measured in stand or as powder). XRF readings on isolated components compared well with laboratory results, with linear regression coefficients typically greater than 0.90. Lead and antimony XRF readings correlated particularly well with lab results, and regression lines were close to the line of equality (see Appendix D).

RPDs between Methods

The distribution of relative percent differences (RPDs) between sample-specific XRF and laboratory measurements (non-transformed ppm) for each of the XRF screening methods are provided in Figure 7. False positives and false negatives were included in the RPD calculations and non-detects were set to half of the detection limit.



Figure 6. Minimum, Maximum, and Interquartile Ranges of Relative Percent Differences (RPDs) between Laboratory Measurements and XRF Screening Methods. *Top and bottom lines of rectangles represent* 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively.

In general, RPDs were highest for the initial screening and lowest for the 60-second in-stand and powder measurements. Similar to regressions, RPDs for each element decreased with material isolation and use of the stand. Median RPDs were particularly high for cobalt measurements (47-199%). Powder screenings of arsenic and in-stand 60-second measurements of lead and antimony had the best agreement with lab results (median RPD = 6%, 16%, and 17%, respectively).

XRF as a Screening Tool for CSPA metals

Detection limits achieved by the XRF analyzer varied greatly depending on sample material, but LODs were generally well below 100 ppm for plastic matrices. The XRF performed reasonably well in precision and accuracy tests on isolated materials for antimony, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, lead, and mercury.

The comparison of XRF data to laboratory results was limited to only non-metal matrices (57 samples). A number of false positives occurred using the 30-second initial screening measurements of non-metal product samples. False positives were greatly decreased by isolating the component of interest and measuring in a stand. Very few false negatives occurred for any of the XRF measurement methods. While the XRF can be used to screen new products, it is recommended that the item be deconstructed and re-measured to confirm the original analysis.

XRF vs. Lab - PBDEs

Laboratory Results

A statistical summary of initial screening XRF results for bromine measurements is presented in a previous section, *XRF Screening Results*, on page 20.

Sixty-eight samples were analyzed for 6 PBDE congeners (-47, -99, -100, -154, -153, -209) by RIAL Laboratory. Samples were chosen for laboratory analysis based on a range of XRFmeasured bromine concentrations. Fourteen of the samples consisted of recycled electronics and one foam sample from a couch manufactured in the early 1990s. The remaining 54 samples were collected from new children's products. Laboratory detection limits were typically less than 0.5 ppm for all congeners except BDE-209 where they were generally less than 5 ppm. Table 14 displays statistical summaries of detected results for the children's products and electronics/foams.

| | Children's Items ∑PBDEs (ppm) | Recycled electronics/ old foams ∑PBDEs (ppm) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| % > LOD | 22%* | 93% |
| Minimum | 0.6 | 33 |
| 25th Percentile | 2.1 | 63 |
| Median | 8.5 | 120 |
| Mean | 153.4 | 1433 |
| 75th Percentile | 110.3 | 250 |
| Maximum | 902.4 | 15140 |

Table 14. Statistical Summary of >LOD Laboratory PBDE Results.

*12 samples were detected > LOD.

Five of the samples were qualified NJ.

These samples contained $\hat{RPD} > 40\%$ between columns on the ECD analysis and were not confirmed by GCMS.

PBDEs were infrequently detected in the children's products at low levels. Only one sample, a plastic necklace chain, contained PBDEs greater than 500 ppm. No samples of new products were above the 1000 ppm PBDE threshold outlined in the states PBDE ban (Ecology, 2008).

Each of the recycled electronics and foam samples contained measurable amounts of PBDEs except one sample. With the exception of two recycled electronics samples, all were below 1000 ppm.

XRF Bromine Comparison to PBDE Results

Previous researchers have identified a relationship between XRF-measured bromine and laboratory-measured PBDEs in consumer products (Allen et al, 2008; Imm et al, 2009; Stapleton et al, 2011). Because we tested primarily children's products that have not historically used PBDEs as flame retardants, it is unlikely any correlation would be found for children's products in this study. However, to investigate this relationship, we compared bromine detections with PBDE detections, and constructed linear regressions and RPDs in a similar manner to the metals portion of the study. Complete results of XRF-measured bromine and laboratory PBDEs are included in Appendix F.

Comparison of Bromine and PBDE Detections

Detections of bromine measured by XRF were compared to detections of PBDEs by the laboratory. The authors acknowledge that bromine can be present in products in which PBDEs are absent. Our goal, however, was to examine how often the XRF read bromine when PBDEs were present and vice versa. A comparison of bromine and PBDE detections is displayed in Table 15.

| XRF Method | # Analyzed | # Samples >LOD Br | # Br detected in absence of PBDEs | % Samples Br detected in absence of PBDEs | # PBDEs detected in absence of Br | % samples PBDEs detected in absence of Br |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Initial Screening | 68 | 56 | 33 | 59% | 0 | 0% |
| 60s Hand | 64 | 57 | 37 | 65% | 0 | 0% |
| 60s Stand | 67 | 45 | 26 | 58% | 0 | 0% |
| Powder | 42 | 30 | 11 | 37% | 0 | 0% |

 Table 15. Comparison of Bromine and PBDE Detections.

The majority of samples with XRF-measured bromine detections did not contain PBDEs. The percentage of samples with bromine measurements in absence of PBDEs was even greater (67%) when recycled electronics/old foams were removed from the dataset, leaving only children's products. There were no cases where the XRF analyzer did not detect bromine but PBDEs were present.

Bromine was the most common element detected in the screening process (40.7%), yet presence of PBDEs was isolated to a few samples. Of the 1178 initial screening XRF measurements, 17 components (from 12 different children's products) contained bromine concentrations above 1000 ppm. Twelve of these samples were included in those sent to the laboratory and only four contained PBDEs above the detection limit (Figure 8).

Foam from kid's furniture contained the highest concentrations of XRF-measured bromine (around 2%, or 20000 ppm). However, total PBDEs were not quantified above 1 ppm in any of the foam samples. Two of the plastic samples contained higher PBDE levels (429 and 902 ppm),

but PBDE content was a small fraction of the total XRF bromine value. As PBDEs typically are found in the 7-14% level when used as a flame retardant, it is unlikely these levels are due to their use as a flame retardant.



Figure 7. XRF-measured Bromine and Laboratory-quantified Total PBDEs in Children's Products with >1000 ppm XRF Bromine.

A * symbol denotes the lab sample was below detection limits. *†* indicates the two samples were separate components of the same original product.

Other studies have encountered false positives when comparing XRF-measured bromine to PBDEs. Stapleton et al. (2011) measured bromine in baby product foams by XRF and found several samples lacking PBDEs. The authors suggested that the false positives occurred due to matrix interferences or the presence of unknown brominated compounds. The study also quantified a commercial mixture that contains the brominated compounds TBB¹ and TBPH² (Firemaster 550) in several sample foams that had tested positive for bromine. Other non-PBDE brominated flame retardants including DBDPE³, BTBPE⁴, and PBBs⁵ have been measured in children's toys collected in Southern China (Chen et al., 2009).

Among the recycled electronics sent to the laboratory for PBDE analysis, one sample contained XRF-measured bromine but no laboratory-measured PBDEs. Allen et al. (2008) discovered false positives in three computer monitor samples when using XRF-measured bromine as a surrogate for PBDE concentrations. The study found another brominated flame retardant, TBBPA⁶, was responsible for the bromine measured by XRF when PBDEs were absent.

¹ TBB: 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate

² TBPH: bis(2-ethylhexyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrabromophthalate

³ DBDPE: decabromodiphenyl ethane

⁴ BTBPE: 1,2-bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy)ethane

⁵ PBBs: polybrominated biphenyls

⁶ TBBPA: tetrabromobisphenol A

Regressions between Methods

Least squares linear regressions were constructed to assess relationships between XRF-measured bromine results and laboratory PBDE results. Only samples where both XRF-measured bromine and PBDE values were greater than detection limits were included in regressions. Regressions were computed on log_{10} normalized values for improved normality of the data. Table 16 displays linear regression coefficients for each of the XRF methods. Figure 9 shows regression charts for each of the screening methods. Linear regression results on raw values are presented in Appendix D.

Table 16. Linear Regression Results for XRF-Measured Bromine and Laboratory PBDE Results.

| Log ₁₀ -normalized | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Br/PB | BDEs | | | | |
| XRF method | r² (n) | p-value | | | | |
| Initial screening | 0.16 (23) | 0.059 | | | | |
| 60s hand | 0.27 (23) | 0.012 | | | | |
| 60s stand | 0.15 (23) | 0.073 | | | | |
| Powder | 0.48 (19) | 0.001 | | | | |

Bolded values indicate significant relationship at alpha = 0.05.

Weak positive relationships were apparent for each of the screening methods. The relationships were significant for the 60-second handheld and powder methods, but all r^2 values were less than 0.50. Using non-transformed ppm values, no relationship existed between XRF-measured bromine and PBDEs ($r_2 < 0.1$; Appendix F). As the regression charts show, bromine measured by XRF was not a useful predictor of PBDE concentrations in the samples analyzed.

Recycled electronics samples did not show a relationship between bromine and PBDEs when analyzed separately ($r^2 < 0.1$ for all screening measures). Other studies have found strong relationships between XRF-measured bromine and PBDEs in electronic housings (Allen et al., 2008). However, Suzuki et al. (2009) reported poor correlations between bromine concentrations measured by micro-XRF spectrometry and PBDE concentrations in indoor dust, citing other brominated flame retardants, such as TBBPA, HBCD⁷, and TBPh⁸, were the likely source of bromine.

⁷ HBCD: hexabromocyclododecane

⁸ TBPh: 2,4,6-tribromophenol



Figure 8. Linear Regression Plot Between Log₁₀ Normalized Values for XRF-Measured Bromine and Laboratory PBDE Results.

Dashed line indicates line of equality, solid lines indicate regression trendline.
RPDs between Methods

The distribution of sample-specific relative percent differences (RPDs) between XRF-measured bromine and laboratory PBDE results for each of the XRF screening methods are provided in Figure 9. Only samples with detections of both XRF-bromine and PBDEs were included in RPD calculations.



Figure 9. Distribution of Relative Percent Differences Between XRF-Measured Bromine and PBDE Laboratory Results.

Top and bottom lines of rectangles represent 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively.

The interquartile range was well above 150 RPD for all XRF screening methods. The median, 75th percentile, and maximum RPDs were all near 200% which is the limit of the RPD formula. Relative percent differences were below 50% in only two samples – a furniture foam from the 1990s and a children's product containing textile and foam. With the exception of these two samples, almost all of the bromine recorded by XRF was not associated with PBDEs.

XRF as a Screening Tool for PBDEs

The majority of samples with XRF-measured bromine did not contain PBDEs. When both bromine and PBDEs were present, significant but poor relationships ($r^2 < 0.50$) were evident for log-normalized XRF-measured bromine and PBDEs using the 60 second hand measurement and the powder measurement on isolated products. No significant regressions were evident using non-transformed values. The majority of relative percent differences between XRF-measured bromine and PBDEs were near 200%, with bromine present in levels much higher than PBDEs. Because no samples were found to contain PBDEs in absence of XRF-measured bromine, XRF methods may be a useful tool in screening products that *could* contain PBDEs. However, as expected and based on the lack of relationship between PBDEs and XRF bromine, laboratory analysis would be required for any determination of PBDEs.

This page is purposely left blank

Conclusions

A total of 316 new children's products and 36 recycled electronics parts were analyzed by XRF for concentrations of antimony, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, lead, mercury, molybdenum, and bromine. Laboratory measurements on a subset of the products were compared to several types of XRF screening methods in order to examine the efficacy of XRF as a screening tool for metal analytes (n = 57) and PBDEs (n = 68).

In plastic products, limit of detections (LODs) achieved by the XRF analyzer were generally below the 100 ppm reporting threshold for contaminants in children's products. Minimum LODs for plastic samples were less than 10 ppm for all elements except for cobalt (17 ppm) and antimony (13 ppm). Measurements on metal matrices did not achieve median LODs below 100 ppm for any of the elements except for bromine and cadmium. For metal matrices, minimum LODs were more variable and ranged from 4 - 75 ppm.

Linear regressions between XRF measurements and laboratory results for metal analytes showed good agreement, with r^2 typically greater than 0.90 when the components of interest were isolated from the rest of the product. Measuring products without first isolating the component of interest produced a large amount of false positives for the metal analytes. False negatives were not as problematic, with only three false negative readings occurring between all screening methods and elements analyzed.

The majority of samples with XRF-measured bromine did not contain PBDEs above detection limits. Results of XRF measurements showed high concentrations of bromine in foam from several pieces of children's furniture (around 2%, or 20,000 ppm). These products did not contain PBDEs above 1 ppm. No product forwarded to the lab contained PBDEs above 1000 ppm.

When both bromine and PBDEs were present, poor relationships ($r^2 < 0.50$) and high samplespecific relative percent differences were found. PBDEs represented a very small fraction of the total bromine present.

Recommendations

As a result of the study the following recommendations are made:

- For analysis of antimony, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, lead, and mercury in non-metal matrices the XRF analyzer may provide adequate data for screening purposes. However, due to the occurrence of false positives, the authors recommend material isolation and use of a stand to avoid interferences. If the XRF analyzer is used for handheld screening on intact products, specific materials of interest should be isolated from detected products and re-measured in a stand to confirm the original analysis.
- Because there were no samples where PBDEs were detected in absence of XRF-measured bromine, XRF may be useful as a screening tool to identify samples that *could* contain PBDEs. As expected, the lack of relationship between XRF-measured bromine and laboratory-measured PBDEs indicate that laboratory testing would be necessary for determination of PBDEs in samples. Additional study is needed to determine if XRF-measured bromine could be useful as a screening tool for other brominated flame retardants.
- For laboratory analysis of antimony, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, lead, and molybdenum, all samples should be digested using EPA Method 3052 to achieve total decomposition of the samples. Research should be done to find laboratories which can digest metal matrices using this method (microwave-assisted).

References

Allen, J., M. McClean, H. Stapleton, and T. Webster, 2008. Linking PBDEs in House Dust to Consumer Products using X-ray Fluorescence. Environmental Science and Technology 42:4222-4228.

ASTM F 2617-08, 2008. Standard Test Method for Identification and Quantification of Chromium, Bromine, Cadmium, Mercury, and Lead in Polymeric Material Using Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry.

Chen, S., Y. Ma, J. Wang, D. Chen, X. Luo, and B. Mai, 2009. Brominated Flame Retardants in Children's Toys: Concentration, Composition, and Children's Exposure and Risk Assessment. Environmental Science and Technology, Vol. 43: 4200-4206.

Cobb, D., 2009. Study on the Effectiveness, Precision, and Reliability of X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry and Other Alternative Methods for Measuring Lead in Paint. US Consumer Product Safety Commission, Gaithersburg, MD.

Furl, C., 2011. Quality Assurance Project Plan: Flame Retardants and Metals in Children's Products and Consumer Goods. Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia WA. Publication No. 11-03-105. <u>www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/1103105.html</u>

Imm, P., L. Knobeloch, C. Buelow, and H. Anderson, 2009. Household Exposures to Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in a Wisconsin Cohort. Environmental Health Perspectives 117: 1890-1895.

Peele, C. 2006. Washington State Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether (PBDE) Chemical Action Plan: Final Plan. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 05-07-048. <u>www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0507048.html</u>

RoHS Enforcement Guidance Document, Version 1, 2006. www.bis.gov.uk/files/file30049.pdf

Stapleton, H., S. Klosterhaus, A. Keller, P. Fergueson, S. van Bergen, E. Cooper, T. Webster, and A. Blum, 2011. Identification of Flame Retardants in Polyurethane Foam Collected from Baby Products. Environmental Science and Technology 45:5323-5331.

Suzuki, G., A. Kida, S-I. Sakai, and H. Takigami, 2009. Existence of Bromine as an Indicator of the Source of Brominated Flame Retardants in Indoor Dust. Environmental Science and Technology 43: 1437-1442.

Thermo Fisher Scientific, 2009. Screening Consumer Products for Toxic Substances. Consumer Products White Paper. Thermo Fisher Scientific, Billerica, MA.

Toy Testing Lab, 2010. Cryogenic Milling of Non-Metal Children's Products Method SOP-TTL10. Rhode Island Analytical.

TPCH, 2011. Laboratory Round Robin Test Project: Assessing Performance in Measuring Toxics in Packaging. Toxics in Packaging Clearinghouse, Administered by the Northeast Recycling Council, Inc, Submitted to the California Department of Toxic Substances Control.

USACE, 2008. XRF Analyses Performance Evaluation. US Army Corps of Engineers Buffalo District. Buffalo, NY.

U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 2009. Test Method: CPSC-CH-E1002-08, Standard Operating Procedure for Determining Total Lead (Pb) in Non-Metal Children's Products. U.S. CPSC Division of Chemistry, Gaithersburg, MD.

Washington State Department of Ecology, 2008. Guidance Regarding Implementation of Chapter 70.76 RCW. www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/swfa/pbt/docs/DeMinimusPBDEGuidance.pdf

Appendices

This page is purposely left blank

Appendix A. Glossary, Acronyms, and Abbreviations

Glossary

Cryogenic: Of or relating to very low temperatures.

- Cryomilled: The process of reducing a sample to very small particle sizes by employing cryogenic temperatures and a mechanical mill.
- Niton's TestAll®: A Thermo Scientific Niton XRF analyzer setting in which the analyzer performs a pre-measurement check to identify the type of sample being screened. The XRF analyzer will determine whether the sample is a metal, mineral, plastic, or painted object and select the correct mode automatically.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

Following are acronyms and abbreviations used frequently in this report.

| Ecology | Washington State Department of Ecology |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| EIM | Environmental Information Management database |
| LOD | Limit of Detection |
| MEL | Manchester Environmental Laboratory |
| PBDE | polybrominated diphenyl ethers |
| PBT | persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic substance |
| RIAL | Rhode Island Analytical |
| RPD | Relative percent difference |
| RSD | Relative standard deviation |
| SOP | Standard operating procedures |
| SRM | Standard reference materials |
| WAC | Washington Administrative Code |
| XRF | X-ray fluorescence |

Metals

| Ag | Silver |
|----|------------|
| As | Arsenic |
| Br | Bromine |
| Cd | Cadmium |
| Со | Cobalt |
| Hg | Mercury |
| Mo | Molybdenum |
| Pb | Lead |
| Sb | Antimony |
| | |

Units of Measurement

| g | gram, a unit of mass |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|
| mg | milligrams |
| mg/Kg | milligrams per kilogram (parts per million) |
| ng/g | nanograms per gram (parts per billion) |
| ug/g | micrograms per gram (parts per million) |
| ug/Kg | micrograms per kilogram (parts per billion) |
| ug /L | micrograms per liter (parts per billion) |

Appendix B. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Results

Metals

Table B-1. LCS Recoveries.

| Arsenic | % | Antimony | % | Cadmium | % |
|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| B11D141-BS1 | 106 | B11E058-BS2 | 95 | B11E012-BS1 | 99 |
| B11E017-BS1 | 101 | B11E058-BS1 | 95 | B11E058-BS1 | 103 |
| B11E112-BS1 | 113 | B11D111-BS1 | 102 | B11D111-BS1 | 98 |
| B11D090-BS1 | 101 | B11D159-BS1 | 95 | B11D159-BS1 | 96 |
| B11E012-BS1 | 100 | B11E112-BS1 | 101 | B11E017-BS1 | 96 |
| B11D111-BS1 | 102 | B11E012-BS1 | 95 | B11E058-BS2 | 103 |
| B11D159-BS1 | 99 | B11E017-BS1 | 96 | B11D141-BS1 | 99 |
| B11E058-BS2 | 104 | B11D090-BS1 | 101 | B11D090-BS1 | 100 |
| B11E058-BS1 | 104 | B11D141-BS1 | 96 | B11E112-BS1 | 113 |
| | | | | | |

| Cobalt | | Lead | | Mercury | |
|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|----|
| B11D141-BS1 | 97 | B11D111-BS1 | 100 | B11E049-BS1 | 92 |
| B11D159-BS1 | 95 | B11D141-BS1 | 104 | B11E011-BS1 | 96 |
| B11D111-BS1 | 95 | B11D090-BS1 | 104 | B11D182-BS1 | 97 |
| B11E058-BS1 | 108 | B11E012-BS1 | 103 | B11D155-BS1 | 93 |
| B11E012-BS1 | 95 | B11E112-BS1 | 104 | B11D070-BS1 | 95 |
| B11E017-BS1 | 99 | B11D159-BS1 | 96 | | |
| B11E058-BS2 | 108 | B11E017-BS1 | 101 | | |
| B11D090-BS1 | 102 | B11E058-BS2 | 105 | | |
| B11E112-BS1 | 103 | B11E058-BS1 | 106 | | |

| Molybdenium | |
|-------------|-----|
| B11D159-BS1 | 98 |
| B11D141-BS1 | 92 |
| B11D111-BS1 | 100 |
| B11D090-BS1 | 99 |
| B11E058-BS2 | 99 |
| B11E058-BS1 | 99 |
| B11E012-BS1 | 99 |
| B11E112-BS1 | 98 |
| B11E017-BS1 | 99 |

Table B-2. LCS/LCS duplicates RPD.

| Arsenic | % | Antimony | % | Cadmium | % |
|--------------|---|--------------|---|--------------|-----|
| B11E112-BSD1 | 2 | B11E112-BSD1 | 1 | B11E112-BSD1 | 0.9 |

| Cobalt | | Lead | | Molybdenium | |
|--------------|-----|--------------|---|--------------|---|
| B11E112-BSD1 | 0.1 | B11E112-BSD1 | 2 | B11E112-BSD1 | 2 |

Table B-3. LCS recoveries - HF digestion.

| Sample # | Analyte | % |
|-------------|---------|----|
| B110931-BS2 | As | 95 |
| B110930-BS2 | Cd | 90 |
| B110930-BS2 | Со | 95 |
| B110930-BS2 | Мо | 93 |
| B110930-BS2 | Pb | 95 |
| B110930-BS2 | Sb | 85 |

Table B-4. Laboratory blanks.

| Antimony | | | Arsenic | | | Cadmium | | |
|--------------|--------|---|--------------|--------|---|--------------|---------|---|
| B11D111-BLK1 | 0.265 | | B11D141-BLK1 | 0.1 | U | B11E012-BLK1 | 0.25 | C |
| B11D159-BLK1 | 0.5 | U | B11E112-BLK1 | 0.5 | U | B11E017-BLK1 | 0.25 | U |
| B11E012-BLK1 | 0.5 | U | B11D090-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11E058-BLK1 | 0.00002 | U |
| B11E017-BLK1 | 0.5 | U | B11E012-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11D159-BLK1 | 0.25 | U |
| B11E112-BLK1 | 1 | U | B11E017-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11D111-BLK1 | 0.1 | U |
| B11E058-BLK1 | 0.0002 | U | B11D111-BLK1 | 0.1 | U | B11D141-BLK1 | 0.1 | U |
| B11D090-BLK1 | 0.5 | U | B11D159-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11D090-BLK1 | 0.25 | U |
| B11D141-BLK1 | 0.2 | U | B11E058-BLK1 | 0.0001 | U | B11E112-BLK1 | 0.5 | U |

| Cobalt | | | Lead | | | Mercury | | |
|--------------|--------|---|--------------|-------|---|--------------|-------|---|
| B11E012-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11D111-BLK1 | 0.568 | | B11E049-BLK1 | 0.005 | U |
| B11E017-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11D141-BLK1 | 0.177 | | B11E011-BLK1 | 0.005 | U |
| B11D141-BLK1 | 0.1 | U | B11D090-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11D182-BLK1 | 0.005 | U |
| B11D111-BLK1 | 0.1 | U | B11E017-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11D070-BLK1 | 0.005 | U |
| B11D159-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11E112-BLK1 | 0.5 | U | B11D155-BLK1 | 0.005 | U |
| B11E058-BLK1 | 0.0001 | U | B11E012-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | | | |
| B11D090-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | B11D159-BLK1 | 0.25 | U | | | |
| B11E112-BLK1 | 0.5 | U | B11E058-BLK1 | 2E-05 | U | | | |

| Molybdenum | | |
|--------------|--------|---|
| B11D141-BLK1 | 0.5 | U |
| B11D090-BLK1 | 0.25 | U |
| B11E058-BLK1 | 0.0001 | U |
| B11D159-BLK1 | 0.25 | U |
| B11D111-BLK1 | 0.1 | U |
| B11E112-BLK1 | 0.5 | U |
| B11E017-BLK1 | 0.25 | U |
| B11E012-BLK1 | 0.25 | U |

U = undetected at level indicated.

| Antimony | | Arsenic | | Cadmium | | |
|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|---|
| Sample # | Result | Sample # | Result | Sample # | Result | |
| B110930-BLK1 | 0.04 U | B110931-BLK1 | 0.1 U | B110930-BLK1 | 0.02 | U |
| B110930-BLK2 | 0.04 U | B110931-BLK2 | 0.1 U | B110930-BLK2 | 0.02 | U |
| B110930-BLK3 | 0.04 U | B110931-BLK3 | 0.1 U | B110930-BLK3 | 0.02 | U |
| B110930-BLK4 | 0.04 U | B110931-BLK4 | 0.1 U | B110930-BLK4 | 0.02 | U |
| | | | | | | |
| Cobalt | | Lead | | Molybdenum | | |
| Sample # | Result | Sample # | Result | Sample # | Result | |
| B110930-BLK1 | 0.05 U | B110930-BLK1 | 0 U | B110930-BLK1 | 0.1 | U |
| B110930-BLK2 | 0.05 U | B110930-BLK2 | 0 U | B110930-BLK2 | 0.1 | U |
| B110930-BLK3 | 0.05 U | B110930-BLK3 | 0 U | B110930-BLK3 | 0.1 | U |
| B110930-BLK4 | 0.05 U | B110930-BLK4 | 0 U | B110930-BLK4 | 0.1 | U |

Table B-5. Lab Blanks HF digestion.

U = undetected at level indicated.

Table B-6. Laboratory Duplicates.

| Antimony | Duplicate (ppm) | | Source (ppm) | | RPD (%) | Arsenic | Duplicate (ppm) | | Source (ppm) | | RPD (%) |
|--------------|--------------------|---|-----------------|---|------------|--------------|--------------------|---|-----------------|---|------------|
| B11D159-DUP1 | 95.1 | | 94.4 | | 1 | B11D141-DUP1 | 27.2 | | 26.4 | | 3 |
| B11D111-DUP1 | 21400 | J | 16300 | J | 27 | B11D090-DUP1 | 0.243 | U | 0.243 | U | |
| B11E017-DUP1 | 0.5 | U | 0.498 | U | | B11E017-DUP1 | 0.25 | J | 0.306 | J | 20 |
| B11E012-DUP1 | 182 | | 183 | | 1 | B11E012-DUP1 | 1.11 | J | 1.23 | J | 10 |
| B11D090-DUP1 | 0.485 | U | 0.485 | U | | B11D111-DUP1 | 4.87 | | 4.06 | | 18 |
| B11D141-DUP1 | 2.44 | | 2.57 | | 5 | B11D159-DUP1 | 1.49 | J | 1.23 | J | 19 |
| Cadmium | | | | | | Cobalt | | | | | |
| B11E017-DUP1 | 0.25 | U | 0.249 | U | | B11E017-DUP1 | 0.25 | U | 0.249 | U | |
| B11E012-DUP1 | 1.24 | | 1.22 | | 2 | B11D141-DUP1 | 44.6 | | 43.3 | | 3 |
| B11D111-DUP1 | 0.099 | U | 0.097 | U | | B11E012-DUP1 | 1.63 | | 1.5 | | 8 |
| B11D159-DUP1 | 17.8 | | 17.8 | | 0 | B11D159-DUP1 | 1.5 | | 1.44 | | 4 |
| B11D141-DUP1 | 0.092 | U | 0.097 | U | | B11D111-DUP1 | 0.342 | | 0.354 | | 3 |
| B11D090-DUP1 | 0.243 | U | 0.243 | U | | B11D090-DUP1 | 0.243 | U | 0.243 | U | |
| Lead | | | | | | Mercury | | | | | |
| B11D141-DUP1 | 0.401 | J | 2.54 | J | 145 | B11E011-DUP1 | 0.005 | U | 0.005 | U | |
| B11D111-DUP1 | 6.1 | | 0.097 | U | | B11D182-DUP1 | 0.0149 | | 0.0147 | | 1 |
| B11D090-DUP1 | 2490 | | 2430 | | 2 | B11D070-DUP1 | 0.0098 | U | 0.0103 | U | |
| B11E017-DUP1 | 0.25 | U | 0.249 | U | | B11D155-DUP1 | 0.005 | U | 0.0051 | U | |
| B11E012-DUP1 | 6.06 | | 6.09 | | 0 | | | | | | |
| B11D159-DUP1 | 7090 | | 7470 | | 5 | | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B11D141-DUP1 | 7.67 | | 7.55 | | 2 | | | | | | |
| B11D090-DUP1 | 34.3 | | 33.9 | | 1 | | | | | | |
| B11D159-DUP1 | 0.246 | U | 0.248 | U | | | | | | | |
| B11D111-DUP1 | 0.099 | U | 0.097 | U | | | | | | | |
| B11E017-DUP1 | 0.25 | U | 0.249 | U | | | | | | | |
| B11E012-DUP1 | 0.249 | U | 0.246 | U | | | | | | | |

U = undetected at level indicated. J = report result is an estimate.

| Analyta | Duplicate | Source | RPD |
|------------|-----------|--------|------|
| Analyte | (ppm) | (ppm) | (%) |
| Arsenic | 3071 | 3060 | 0.4% |
| Cadmium | 29.05 | 31.6 | 8.4% |
| Cobalt | 219.5 | 219 | 0.2% |
| Molybdenum | 6.23 | 6.29 | 1.0% |
| Lead | 129.3 | 135 | 4.3% |
| Antimony | 77.24 | 79.5 | 2.9% |

Table B-7. Laboratory duplicates - HF digestion.

Table B-8. Matrix Spike Recoveries.

| Antimony | % | Arsenic | % | Cadmium | % |
|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| B11D111-MS1 | 95 | B11D141-MS1 | 106 | B11D159-MS1 | 97 |
| B11D159-MS1 | 94 | B11E017-MS1 | 103 | B11E017-MS1 | 102 |
| B11E017-MS1 | 98 | B11D090-MS1 | 106 | B11E012-MS1 | 92 |
| B11E012-MS1 | 491 | B11E012-MS1 | 101 | B11D111-MS1 | 95 |
| B11D090-MS1 | 99 | B11D159-MS1 | 100 | B11D141-MS1 | 119 |
| B11D141-MS1 | 103 | B11D111-MS1 | 99 | B11D090-MS1 | 97 |
| Cobalt | | Lead | | Mercury | |
| B11E012-MS1 | 99 | B11D141-MS1 | 92 | B11E049-MS1 | 84 |
| B11D159-MS1 | 93 | B11D090-MS1 | 218 | B11E011-MS1 | 86 |
| B11D141-MS1 | 119 | B11E017-MS1 | 101 | B11D182-MS1 | 91 |
| B11E017-MS1 | 98 | B11E012-MS1 | 106 | B11D155-MS1 | 84 |
| B11D111-MS1 | 87 | B11D159-MS1 | 94 | B11D070-MS1 | 52 |
| B11D090-MS1 | 105 | B11D111-MS1 | 96 | | |
| Molybdenum | | | | | |
| B11D090-MS1 | 112 | | | | |
| B11D159-MS1 | 98 | | | | |
| B11D111-MS1 | 100 | | | | |
| B11D141-MS1 | 102 | | | | |
| B11E012-MS1 | 98 | | | | |
| B11E017-MS1 | 100 | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Antimony | % | Arsenic | % | Cadmium | % |
|------------------|-----|------------------|-----|------------------|------|
| B11D111-MS1/MSD1 | 1 | B11D111-MS1/MSD1 | 1 | B11D111-MS1/MSD1 | 0.8 |
| B11D159-MS1/MSD1 | 0.3 | B11D159-MS1/MSD1 | 0.3 | B11D159-MS1/MSD1 | 2 |
| B11E017-MS1/MSD1 | 0.3 | B11E017-MS1/MSD1 | 2 | B11E017-MS1/MSD1 | 0.09 |
| B11E012-MS1/MSD1 | 3 | B11E012-MS1/MSD1 | 0.8 | B11E012-MS1/MSD1 | 3 |
| B11D090-MS1/MSD1 | 5 | B11D090-MS1/MSD1 | 0.8 | B11D090-MS1/MSD1 | 2 |
| B11D141-MS1/MSD1 | 3 | B11D141-MS1/MSD1 | 2 | B11D141-MS1/MSD1 | 0.2 |
| Cobalt | | Lead | | Mercury | |
| B11D111-MS1/MSD1 | 4 | B11D111-MS1/MSD1 | 0.7 | B11E049-MS1/MSD1 | 5 |
| B11D159-MS1/MSD1 | 4 | B11D159-MS1/MSD1 | 3 | B11E011-MS1/MSD1 | 3 |
| B11E017-MS1/MSD1 | 2 | B11E017-MS1/MSD1 | 3 | B11D182-MS1/MSD1 | 3 |
| B11E012-MS1/MSD1 | 7 | B11E012-MS1/MSD1 | 5 | B11D155-MS1/MSD1 | 4 |
| B11D090-MS1/MSD1 | 4 | B11D090-MS1/MSD1 | 3 | B11D070-MS1/MSD1 | 31 |
| B11D141-MS1/MSD1 | 2 | B11D141-MS1/MSD1 | 0.6 | | |
| Molybdenum | | | | | |
| B11D111-MS1/MSD1 | 1 | | | | |
| B11D159-MS1/MSD1 | 4 | | | | |
| B11E017-MS1/MSD1 | 3 | | | | |
| B11E012-MS1/MSD1 | 11 | | | | |
| B11D090-MS1/MSD1 | 1 | | | | |
| B11D141-MS1/MSD1 | 3 | | | | |

Table B-9. Matrix Spike Duplicates RPD.

Table B-10. Matrix Spike Recoveries HF digestion and Duplicates HF digestion.

| Sample # | Analyte | % |
|-------------|------------|-----|
| B110931-MS2 | Arsenic | 80 |
| B110930-MS1 | Cadmium | 49 |
| B110930-MS1 | Cobalt | 117 |
| B110930-MS1 | Molybdenum | 91 |
| B110930-MS1 | Lead | 90 |
| B110930-MS1 | Antimony | 105 |

Table B-11. Matrix Spike Duplicates RPD.

| Sample # | Analyte | % |
|-----------------|------------|-----|
| B110930-MS1/MS2 | Cadmium | 69 |
| B110930-MS1/MS2 | Cobalt | 11 |
| B110930-MS1/MS2 | Molybdenum | 2.2 |
| B110930-MS1/MS2 | Lead | 6.5 |
| B110930-MS1/MS2 | Antimony | 19 |

PBDEs

| 86 92 85 | 1104-06537-024 70 92 | 1104-06537-026 | 1104-06537-027 | 1104-06538-014 | 1104-06538-015 | 1104-06538-017 | 1104-06538-018 | 1104-06542-014 |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 92 | | 75 | | | | | | |
| | 02 | , 5 | 89 | 75 | 89 | 90 | 94 | 86 |
| 85 | 92 | 75 | 89 | 75 | 89 | 88 | 93 | 92 |
| | 71 | 73 | 86 | 73 | 86 | 87 | 92 | 85 |
| 84 | 68 | 68 | 80 | 68 | 80 | 80 | 86 | 84 |
| 80 | 64 | 67 | 76 | 67 | 76 | 77 | 83 | 80 |
| 66 | 62 | 102 | 99 | 102 | 99 | 93 | 106 | 66 |
| | | | L | CS Recoveries | (%) | | | |
| 104-06539-014 | 1104-06540-017 | 1104-06540-018 | 1104-06540-020 | 1104-06541-004 | 1104-06541-005 | 1104-06542-011 | 1104-06542-012 | |
| 76 | 61 | 92 | 89 | 61 | 93 | 91 | 86 | |
| 68 | 74 | 116 | 92 | 74 | 116 | 98 | 93 | |
| 59 | 57 | 92 | 88 | 57 | 92 | 90 | 85 | |
| 59 | 53 | 86 | 86 | 53 | 86 | 88 | 84 | |
| 52 | 50.00 | 84 | 85 | 50 | 84 | 84 | 80 | |
| 40 | 84 | 116 | 155 | 84 | 116 | 158 | 172 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 104 06527 026 | 1104 06527 027 | | 1104 07528 014 | | | 1104 07528 017 | 1104 07529 019 | |
| | | | | | | | | RPD (%) |
| | | | | | | | | 4 |
| | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | 8 |
| 102 | 99 | 3 | 102 | | | 93 | 106 | 13 |
| 104 06540 017 | 1104 06540 018 | DDD (0/) | 1104 06541 004 | | | 1104 06542 011 | 1104 06542 012 | RPD (%) |
| | | | | | | | | 6 KPD (70) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | 66 104-06539-014 76 68 59 59 59 52 40 | 66 62 104-06539-014 1104-06540-017 76 61 68 74 59 57 59 53 52 50.00 40 84 104-06537-026 1104-06537-027 75 89 75 89 75 89 73 86 68 80 67 76 102 99 104-06540-017 1104-06540-018 61 92 73 86 67 72 53 86 50.00 84 | 66 62 102 104-06539-014 104-06540-017 1104-06540-018 76 61 92 68 74 116 59 57 92 59 53 86 52 50.00 84 40 84 116 104-06537-026 1104-06537-027 RPD (%) 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 16 68 80 16 67 76 13 102 99 3 104-06540-017 1104-06540-018 RPD (%) 61 92 41 74 116 44 57 92 47 53 86 47 50.00 84 51 | 66 62 102 99 104-06539-014 1104-06540-017 1104-06540-018 1104-06540-020 76 61 92 89 68 74 116 92 59 57 92 88 59 53 86 86 52 50.00 84 85 40 84 116 155 40 84 116 155 40 84 116 55 40 84 16 155 104-06537-027 RPD (%) 1104-06538-014 75 89 17 75 75 89 17 75 75 89 17 75 75 89 17 75 75 89 17 75 75 89 17 75 75 89 17 75 76 13 67 10 <td>66 62 102 99 102 LCS Recoveries 104-06539-014 1104-06540-018 1104-06540-020 1104-06541-004 76 61 92 89 61 68 74 116 92 74 59 57 92 88 57 59 53 86 86 53 52 50.00 84 85 50 40 84 116 104-06538-014 104-06538-015 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 16 68 80 68 80 16 68 80</td> <td>66 62 102 99 102 99 104-06539-014 1104-06540-017 1104-06540-018 1104-06541-004 1104-06541-004 1104-06541-005 76 61 92 89 61 93 68 74 116 92 74 116 59 57 92 88 57 92 59 53 86 86 53 86 52 50.00 84 85 50 84 40 84 116 155 84 116 104-06537-026 104-06537-027 RPD (%) 1104-06538-014 1104-06538-015 RPD (%) 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 <td< td=""><td>6662102991029993104-06539-014104-06540-018104-06540-020104-06531-004104-06531-005104-06534-01076619289619391687411692741169859579288579290595386865386885250.0084855084844084116104-06538-014106381675891775891790758917758917907589177589178868801668801680677613677613771029931029933361924161934291619241619342916192416193429161924161934291619247579247905386475386478850.00845150845184</td><td>6662102991029993106Id4-06539-01104-06540-017104-06540-018104-06541-0041104-06541-0051104-06542-0111104-06542-01276619289619391866874116927411698935957928857929085595386865384845250.0084855084845250.00848554116158172104-06537-027RPD (%)1104-06538-015RPD (%)1104-06538-015104-06538-015104-06538-015758917758917909475891775891790947589177589179294758917758917929475891775891792926880166880168686677613677613778310294136776137786619241619342918661924161934291867411644741164</td></td<></td> | 66 62 102 99 102 LCS Recoveries 104-06539-014 1104-06540-018 1104-06540-020 1104-06541-004 76 61 92 89 61 68 74 116 92 74 59 57 92 88 57 59 53 86 86 53 52 50.00 84 85 50 40 84 116 104-06538-014 104-06538-015 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 17 75 89 75 89 16 68 80 68 80 16 68 80 | 66 62 102 99 102 99 104-06539-014 1104-06540-017 1104-06540-018 1104-06541-004 1104-06541-004 1104-06541-005 76 61 92 89 61 93 68 74 116 92 74 116 59 57 92 88 57 92 59 53 86 86 53 86 52 50.00 84 85 50 84 40 84 116 155 84 116 104-06537-026 104-06537-027 RPD (%) 1104-06538-014 1104-06538-015 RPD (%) 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 89 17 75 <td< td=""><td>6662102991029993104-06539-014104-06540-018104-06540-020104-06531-004104-06531-005104-06534-01076619289619391687411692741169859579288579290595386865386885250.0084855084844084116104-06538-014106381675891775891790758917758917907589177589178868801668801680677613677613771029931029933361924161934291619241619342916192416193429161924161934291619247579247905386475386478850.00845150845184</td><td>6662102991029993106Id4-06539-01104-06540-017104-06540-018104-06541-0041104-06541-0051104-06542-0111104-06542-01276619289619391866874116927411698935957928857929085595386865384845250.0084855084845250.00848554116158172104-06537-027RPD (%)1104-06538-015RPD (%)1104-06538-015104-06538-015104-06538-015758917758917909475891775891790947589177589179294758917758917929475891775891792926880166880168686677613677613778310294136776137786619241619342918661924161934291867411644741164</td></td<> | 6662102991029993104-06539-014104-06540-018104-06540-020104-06531-004104-06531-005104-06534-01076619289619391687411692741169859579288579290595386865386885250.0084855084844084116104-06538-014106381675891775891790758917758917907589177589178868801668801680677613677613771029931029933361924161934291619241619342916192416193429161924161934291619247579247905386475386478850.00845150845184 | 6662102991029993106Id4-06539-01104-06540-017104-06540-018104-06541-0041104-06541-0051104-06542-0111104-06542-01276619289619391866874116927411698935957928857929085595386865384845250.0084855084845250.00848554116158172104-06537-027RPD (%)1104-06538-015RPD (%)1104-06538-015104-06538-015104-06538-015758917758917909475891775891790947589177589179294758917758917929475891775891792926880166880168686677613677613778310294136776137786619241619342918661924161934291867411644741164 |

Table B-12. LCS and LCS Duplicates.

Table B-13. Laboratory Duplicates.

| | | TJ0 | 701 | | RPD % | | AZ1 | 308 | | RPD % | | TUI | 1404 | | RPD % |
|----------|-----|-----|------|----|-------|-----|-----|-----|---|-------|-----|-----|------|----|-------|
| PBDE-047 | 0.4 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.3 | U | 0.3 | U | NA |
| PBDE-100 | 0.4 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.3 | U | 0.3 | U | NA |
| PBDE-099 | 0.4 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.3 | U | 0.3 | U | NA |
| PBDE-154 | 0.4 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.3 | U | 0.3 | U | NA |
| PBDE-153 | 0.4 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.3 | U | 0.3 | U | NA |
| PBDE-209 | 3.3 | UJ | 3.5 | UJ | NA | 3.8 | U | 3.7 | U | NA | 2.7 | UJ | 2.7 | UJ | NA |
| | | DT(|)404 | | RPD % | | TR3 | 001 | | RPD % | | | | | |
| PBDE-047 | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | | | | | |
| PBDE-100 | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | | | | | |
| PBDE-099 | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | | | | | |
| PBDE-154 | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | UJ | 0.5 | U | NA | | | | | |
| PBDE-153 | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | NA | 0.5 | UJ | 0.5 | U | NA | | | | | |
| PBDE-209 | 3.7 | UJ | 3.9 | UJ | NA | 63 | J | 50 | J | 23 | | | | | |

U = undetected at level indicated.

UJ = undetected at level indicated; level is an estimate.

J = report result is an estimate.

| | Matrix Spike Recoveries (%) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | TJ0701 | AZ1308 | TU1404 | DT0404 | | | | | |
| PBDE-047 | 56 | 92 | 82 | 71 | | | | | |
| PBDE-100 | 62 | 111 | 101 | 82 | | | | | |
| PBDE-099 | 60 | 118 | 83 | 72 | | | | | |
| PBDE-154 | 69 | 77 | 75 | 73 | | | | | |
| PBDE-153 | 64 | 74 | 73 | 72 | | | | | |
| PBDE-209 | 36 | 50 | 108 | 162 | | | | | |

Table B-14. Laboratory Matrix Spikes.







Notes for Figure C-2:

 $(n = 273 \ (clothing); n = 61 \ (food/drink); n = 52 \ (furniture); n = 154 \ (jewelry); n = 28 \ (teethers); n = 573 \ (toys); n = 573 \ (toys); n = 28 \ (teethers); n = 573 \ (toys); n = 5$ n = 37 (recycled electronics).

products intended for children ages 0-5. "Clothing" includes bedding and linens. "Food/drink" category includes plates, cups, etc. Summary includes only >LOD values. Recycled = recycled electronics. All categories except for "recycled electronics" include "Teethers" includes pacifiers and other products intended for infants' mouths. Product selection was not by random selection; summary statistics are not meant to be a representation of products on the market.

Appendix D. Regressions for Laboratory and XRF results

| XRF method | As | 3 | Co | k | С | 0 | Pt |) | St |) |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | r² (n) | p-value | r ² (n) | p-value | r² (n) | p-value | r² (n) | p-value | r² (n) | p-value |
| Initial screening | 1 (14) | <0.001 | 0.99 (20) | <0.001 | 0.01 (8) | 0.808 | 0.91 (26) | <0.001 | 0.51 (34) | <0.001 |
| 60s hand | 0.83 (9) | 0.001 | 0.01 (10) | 0.759 | 1 (3) | 0.002 | 0.95 (19) | <0.001 | 0.99 (26) | <0.001 |
| 60s stand | 0.99 (10) | <0.001 | 0.99 (10) | <0.001 | 1 (5) | <0.001 | 0.98 (20) | <0.001 | 0.90 (30) | <0.001 |
| Powder | 1 (6) | <0.001 | 1 (3) | 0.001 | 0.97 (3) | 0.116 | 0.99 (10) | <0.001 | 0.81 (8) | 0.002 |

Table D-1. Simple Linear Regression Results for Metal Analytes (non-transformed ppm values).



Figure D-1. Linear Regression Plots Between Log_{10} Normalized Values for XRF Screening and Laboratory Results of Metal Analytes. *Dashed line indicates line of equality; solid line indicates regression trendline.* XRF 30s Hand = non-isolated material initial screening; XRF 60s Hand, 60s Stand, and Powder = isolated material screening.



Figure D-2. Linear Regression Plot Between Non-transformed (raw) Values for XRF Screening and Laboratory Results of Metal Analytes. *Dashed line indicates line of equality; solid line indicates regression trendline.* XRF 30s Hand = non-isolated material initial screening; XRF 60s Hand, 60s Stand, and Powder = isolated material screening.

Table D-2. Simple Linear Regression Results for XRF-measured Bromine and Lab PBDE Results (non-transformed ppm values).

| | Br | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| XRF method | r² (n) | p-value | | | | |
| Initial screening | 0.03 (23) | 0.416 | | | | |
| 60s hand | 0.03 (23) | 0.452 | | | | |
| 60s stand | 0.03 (23) | 0.407 | | | | |
| Powder | 0.01 (19) | 0.629 | | | | |



Figure D-3. Linear Regression Plot Between Non-transformed (raw) Values for XRF-measured Bromine and Laboratory PBDE Results. *Dashed line indicates line of equality; solid line indicates regression trendline.*

Appendix E. XRF and Laboratory Results for Metal Analytes

Table E-1. Antimony Results for Non-Metals Samples, Method 3052 (all values ppm).

| | | • | | | , | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS | Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS |
| AZ0801 | 22 | 30 U | 26 U | 1 | 0.5 U | TG0901 | 212 | 171 | 1 | 1 | 146 |
| AZ0804 | 69 | 73 | 133 | 1 | 131 | TG1001 | 10,130 | 241 | 224 | ł | 202 |
| AZ0807 | 53 | 25 | 31 | 1 | 37.4 | TG1002 | 9,780 | 10,986 | 11,139 | ł | 16,300 J |
| AZ0808 | 46 U | 37 U | 34 U | | 0.5 U | TG2301 | 231 | 199 | 210 | ł | 190 |
| AZ1201 | 51 U | 32 U | 29 U | 32 U | 0.5 U | TJ0103 | 32 U | 29 U | 20 U | ł | 0.5 U |
| AZ1308 | 44 U | 38 U | 31 U | 36 U | 0.5 U | TJ0104 | 37 U | 25 U | 19 U | ł | 0.5 U |
| AZ1604 | 47 U | 33 U | 35 U | 1 | 2.2 | TJ0403 | 27 U | 0 69 U | 68 U | 58 U | 0.1 U |
| AZ1702 | 39 U | 39 U | 29 U | 30 U | 0.5 U | TJ0701 | 54 U | 45 U | 38 U | 39 U | 0.5 U |
| AZ1804 | 221 | 36 U | 34 U | 33 U | 0.5 U | TJ0906 | 292 | 189 | 274 | ł | 85.4 |
| AZ2007 | 3,173 | 2,848 | 3,023 | 2,300 | 2,920 | TJ1403 | 49 U | 35 U | 31 U | 33 U | 4.1 |
| AZ2011 | 40 | 26 | 48 | | 17.4 | TJ2402 | 30 U | 34 U | 43 U | 1 | 0.5 U |
| AZ2324 | 59 | ł | 69 | 152 | 79.5 | TJ2901 | 7,555 | 1 | 7,065 | 6,757 | 4,160 J |
| AZ2325 | 25 | 1 | 29 | 54 U | 46.2 | TJ3204 | 189 | ł | 216 | 204 | 183 |
| AZ2326 | 20 | ł | 88 | 45 | 33 | TJ4001 | 34 U | 38 U | 32 U | 1 | 1.5 |
| AZ2333 | 67 | ł | 193 | 79 U | 15.4 | TJ4903 | 92 | 130 | 83 | 1 | 94.4 |
| AZ2401 | 46 | 76 | 69 | 1 | 80.2 | TU0104 | 46 U | 49 U | 86 U | ł | 1.1 |
| BL1301 | 101 U | 63 U | 65 U | | 1.6 | TU0203 | 61 U | 58 U | 58 U | ł | 0.76 |
| BL2308 | 235 | 194 | 199 | | 220 | TU0204 | 51 U | 47 U | 107 U | 1 | 0.5 U |
| CL0902 | 36 U | 41 U | 53 U | | 0.9 U | TU0301 | 233 | 65 | 123 | ł | 95.8 |
| DT1601 | 48 U | ł | ł | | 15.8 | TU0306 | 58 U | 50 U | 81 U | ł | 9.5 |
| DT2002 | 54 U | 58 | 40 U | 41 U | 19.7 | TU0405 | 131 | 66 | 102 | 1 | 109 |
| DT3001 | 81 | 245 | 420 | 347 | 266 | TU0407 | 246 | 178 | 137 | | 192 |
| DT4202 | 4,701 | 3,877 | 4,569 | 3,490 | 4,430 | TU0501 | 240 | 218 | 743 | ł | 263 |
| DT4502 | 178 | 217 | 361 | 290 | 387 | TU0503 | 129 | 37 | 27 | 1 | 49.1 |
| DT6402 | 39 U | 36 U | 31 U | 32 U | 0.5 U | TU1404 | 254 | 201 | 157 | ł | 143 |
| DT6403 | 37 U | 30 U | 24 U | | 14.3 | TU3102 | 323 | 515 | 461 | 1 | 479 |
| DT6404 | 68 | 173 | 153 | | 191 | WM1004 | 267 | 48 | 128 | 1 | 204 |
| DT6701 | 92 | 27 U | 23 U | | 21.9 | WM1501 | 27 U | 83 U | 64 U | 63 U | 0.1 U |
| DT9404 | 123 | 119 | 184 | | 191 | | | | | | |
| U = undete | J = undetected at level indicat | l indicated. | | | | | | | | | |
| J = report r | J = report result is an estimate. | stimate. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| ' | pm) |
|-----------------|----------------------------|
| | d |
| , | values |
| | (all va |
| ` | \sim |
| | \sim |
| | \mathcal{O} |
| , | Method |
| ł | ĕ. |
| ' | |
| • | ts for Non-Metals Samples, |
| ł | Sam |
| , | Non-Metals |
| 1 | <u> </u> |
| , | N-Г |
| , | ōZ |
| , | tor N |
| , | Results |
| 1 | nic Resul |
| | nic |
| | Arse |
|) | e E-2. A |
| | Table |

| ICP-MS | 0.2 U | 0.1 U | 4.1 | 0.1 U | 0.2 U | 0.2 U | 2.6 | 0.2 U | 0.2 | 0.2 U | 0.2 U | 23.1 | 1.2 J | 0.2 U | 1.2 J | 10.1 | 0.2 U | 6.8 | 0.1 U | 5.1 | 0.2 U | 0.1 U | 0.8 J | 0.2 U | 0.1 | 218 | 0.1 U | 0.7 | | |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| XRF 60s Powder | | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | 7 U | 6 U | ł | 5 U | ł | 25 U | 5 U | ł | ł | 1 | ł | 1 | 1 | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | 7 U | | |
| XRF 60s Stand | | 6 U | 17 U | 0 G | 56 U | 11 U | 7 U | 6 U | 13 U | 5 U | 226 | 19 | 5 U | 4 U | 202 U | 40 | 8 U | 51 | 7 U | 28 | 11 U | 7 U | 76 U | 10 U | 6 U | 306 | 23 U | 6 U | | |
| XRF 60s Hand | 4 U | 4 U | 17 | 4 U | 58 U | 12 U | 6 U | 6 U | 13 U | 4 U | 110 | I | I | 4 U | 304 U | 15 U | 7 U | 23 | 4 U | 13 | 4 U | 4 U | 64 U | 7 U | 4 U | 250 | 5 U | 8 U | | |
| XRF 30s Screen | 11 U | 9 | 21 U | 11 U | 52 U | 54 U | 10 U | 8 U | 18 U | 7 U | 135 | 35 U | 0 G | 8 U | 210 U | 40 | 23 | 34 | 5 U | 27 | 6 U | 5 U | 93 U | 60 U | 6 U | 233 | 15 U | 11 U | | |
| Sample ID | TG0901 | TG1001 | TG1002 | TG2301 | TJ0103 | TJ0104 | TJ0403 | TJ0701 | 7J0906 | TJ1403 | TJ2402 | TJ2901 | TJ3204 | TJ4001 | TJ4903 | TU0104 | TU0203 | TU0204 | TU0301 | TU0306 | TU0405 | TU0407 | TU0501 | TU0503 | TU1404 | TU3102 | WM1004 | WM1501 | | |
| <u>0</u> | _ | _ | | _ | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | _ | | _ | | _ | |
| ICP-MS | 0.2 L | 0.2 L | 1.3 | 0.2 L | 0.3 . | 0.3 L | 0.3 L | 0.2 U | 0.2 L | | ~ | 3,06(| 7,84(| 1,75(| 116 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 . | 0.4 L | 1.2、 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 0.2 L | 0.7 | 0.2 L | 0.2 L | 0.2 L | |
| XRF 60s Powder | | I | 1 | I | 4 U | 4 U | 1 | 3 U | 4 U | 13 U | I | 3,074 | 7,243 | 1,838 | 121 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25 U | 13 U | 12 | 50 | 3 U | ł | | ł | | |
| XRF 60s Stand | 133 U | 5 U | 206 U | 3 U | 3 U | 3 U | 26 U | 3 U | 4 U | 15 U | 43 U | 2,297 | 5,530 | 1,799 | 137 | 61 U | 19 U | 5 U | 8 U | 1 | 21 U | 12 U | 15 U | 29 U | 3 U | 25 U | 7 U | 11 U | 7 U | |
| XRF 60s Hand | 134 U | 5 U | 200 U | 4 U | 3 U | 4 U | 27 | 4 U | 4 U | 18 U | 26 U | ł | ł | ł | ł | 60 U | 10 U | 4 U | 4 U | ł | 17 U | 10 | 16 U | 21 | 3 U | 27 U | 4 U | 11 | 4 U | l indicated. stimate. |
| XRF 30s Screen | 104 U | 31 U | 202 U | 5 U | 10 U | 13 U | 22 | 7 U | 7 U | 20 U | 51 U | 2,142 | 5,250 | 1,231 | 133 | 61 U | 15 U | 5 U | 154 | 26 U | 35 U | 26 U | 17 U | 27 U | 6 U | 30 U | 80 U | 15 U | 5 | J = undetected at level indicated = report result is an estimate. |
| Sample ID | AZ0801 | AZ0804 | AZ0807 | AZ0808 | AZ1201 | AZ1308 | AZ1604 | AZ1702 | AZ1804 | AZ2007 | AZ2011 | AZ2324 | AZ2325 | AZ2326 | AZ2333 | AZ2401 | BL1301 | BL2308 | CL0902 | DT1601 | DT2002 | DT3001 | DT4202 | DT4502 | DT6402 | DT6403 | DT6404 | DT6701 | DT9404 | U = undete J = report r |

Page 59

٦

| m). |
|-----------------------|
| dd |
| values |
| |
| (all |
| 3052 |
| d 3 |
| Method |
| • |
| oles |
| Samp |
| tals Sa |
| Ae |
| r Non-N |
| or N |
| foi |
| nium Results for Non- |
| n R |
| niun |
| adr |
| Ü |
| able E-3. |
| Tab |

| ICP-MS | 0.2 U | 0.1 U | 0.1 U | 0.16 | 27.4 | 0.63 | 0.07 J | 0.2 U | 0.41 | 0.2 U | 0.2 U | 26.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 U | 17.8 | 0.2 U | 0.2 U | 0.2 U | 0.1 U | 0.2 U | 0.2 U | 0.1 U | 12.0 | 0.2 U | 0.1 U | 4.9 | 0.1 U | 0.04 J | | |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| XRF 60s Powder | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | 26 U | 18 U | | 15 U | ł | 28 U | 15 U | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | 29 U | | |
| XRF 60s Stand | ł | 21 U | 15 U | 30 U | 290 | 11 U | 30 U | 17 U | 15 U | 14 U | 21 U | 30 U | 16 U | 15 U | 26 | 44 U | 26 U | 51 U | 21 U | 43 U | 29 U | 22 U | 34 U | 11 U | 22 U | 19 U | 65 U | 28 U | | |
| XRF 60s Hand | 18 U | 17 U | 20 U | 18 U | 399 | 14 U | 30 U | 21 U | 18 U | 16 U | 16 U | ł | ł | 17 U | 31 | 25 U | 26 U | 24 U | 19 U | 26 U | 17 U | 18 U | 15 | 9 | 17 U | 18 U | 24 U | 36 U | | |
| XRF 30s Screen | 34 U | 27 U | 22 U | 35 U | 209 | 244 | 14 | 24 U | 22 U | 22 U | 14 U | 27 | 24 U | 18 U | 17 U | 24 U | 28 U | 25 U | 23 U | 29 U | 22 U | 23 U | 23 | 14 | 23 U | 32 U | 48 U | 10 | | |
| Sample ID | TG0901 | TG1001 | TG1002 | TG2301 | TJ0103 | TJ0104 | TJ0403 | TJ0701 | TJ0906 | TJ1403 | TJ2402 | TJ2901 | TJ3204 | TJ4001 | TJ4903 | TU0104 | TU0203 | TU0204 | TU0301 | TU0306 | TU0405 | TU0407 | TU0501 | TU0503 | TU1404 | TU3102 | WM1004 | WM1501 | | |
| S | | _ | | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | | | | | | _ | <u>_</u> | | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| ICP-MS | 0.2 ר | 0.2 U | 29.2 | 0.2 ר | 0.2 ר | 0.3 ר | 0.3 ר | 0.2 ר | 0.2 L | 0.2 U | 85.0 | 31.6 | 7.3 J | 2.6 | 2,860 | 138 | 272 | 0.1 ר | 0.43 (| 3.2 | 3.4 | 11.7 | 0.55 | 6.5 | 0.36 | 160 | 0.31 | 33.6 | 0.2 ר | |
| XRF 60s Powder | ł | ł | ł | ł | 15 U | 16 U | ł | 14 U | 15 U | 14 U | ł | 44 | 25 U | 26 U | 3,914 | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | 19 U | 13 | 21 U | 16 U | 14 U | ł | ł | I | ł | |
| XRF 60s Stand | 15 U | 18 U | 16 | 15 U | 13 U | 14 U | 16 U | 13 U | 15 U | 17 U | 91 | 18 | 10 U | 38 U | 8,592 | 114 | 229 | 17 U | 24 U | ł | 19 U | 17 U | 23 U | 15 U | 14 U | 131 | 23 U | 203 | 24 U | |
| XRF 60s Hand | 17 U | 19 U | 22 | 17 U | 15 U | 17 U | 15 U | 17 U | 16 U | 20 U | 73 | 1 | 1 | ł | ł | 112 | 117 | 17 U | 18 U | ł | 24 U | 18 U | 26 U | 18 U | 16 U | 149 | 18 U | 177 | 17 U | l indicated. stimate. |
| XRF 30s Screen | 39 | 132 | 35 | 21 U | 23 U | 19 U | 21 U | 18 U | 22 U | 24 U | 89 | 26 | 12 U | 12 U | 5,700 | 67 | 141 | 23 U | 20 U | 22 U | 26 U | 26 U | 28 U | 25 U | 40 | 176 | 120 | 83 | 24 U | U = undetected at level indicated J = report result is an estimate. |
| Sample ID | AZ0801 | AZ0804 | AZ0807 | AZ0808 | AZ1201 | AZ1308 | AZ1604 | AZ1702 | AZ1804 | AZ2007 | AZ2011 | AZ2324 | AZ2325 | AZ2326 | AZ2333 | AZ2401 | BL1301 | BL2308 | CL0902 | DT1601 | DT2002 | DT3001 | DT4202 | DT4502 | DT6402 | DT6403 | DT6404 | DT6701 | DT9404 | U = undete J = report re |

Table E-4. Cobalt Results for Non-Metals Samples, Method 3052 (all values ppm).

| ICP-MS | 0.24 U | 1.0 | 0.35 | 0.1 U | 0.25 U | 0.25 U | 0.2 U | 0.25 U | 0.10 U | 0.25 U | 0.24 U | 7.8 | 1.5 | 0.25 U | 1.4 | 0.24 U | 0.25 U | 0.24 U | 0.10 U | 0.25 U | 0.25 U | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.25 U | 10.2 | 0.25 U | 8.4 | 0.1 U | | |
|----------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------------|
| XRF 60s Powder | 1 | | ł | ł | ł | ł | 38 U | 24 U | 1 | 21 U | ł | 39 | 21 U | ł | 1 | ł | 1 | 1 | ł | 1 | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | ł | 1 | 39 U | | |
| XRF 60s Stand | ł | 29 U | 81 U | 50 U | 58 U | 63 U | 40 U | 24 U | 92 U | 18 U | 42 U | 48 U | 22 U | 22 U | 141 U | 55 U | 36 U | 70 U | 31 U | 52 U | 50 U | 37 U | 60 U | 63 U | 28 | 27 U | 158 U | 38 U | | |
| XRF 60s Hand | 23 U | 21 U | 180 | 23 U | 00 U | 84 U | 39 U | 28 U | 101 U | 19 U | 23 U | 1 | 1 | 23 U | 141 U | 23 U | 31 U | 20 U | 25 U | 24 U | 22 U | 23 U | 103 U | 06 U | 19 | 23 U | 31 U | 48 U | | |
| XRF 30s Screen | 71 U | 40 | 105 | 66 U | 109 U | 124 U | 112 U | 34 U | 130 U | 71 | 22 U | 65 U | 35 U | 656 | 176 U | 27 U | 34 U | 26 U | 33 U | 28 U | 28 U | 29 U | 149 U | 130 U | 34 U | 48 U | 0 68 | 106 U | | |
| Sample ID | TG0901 | TG1001 | TG1002 | TG2301 | TJ0103 | TJ0104 | TJ0403 | TJ0701 | 7J0906 | TJ1403 | TJ2402 | TJ2901 | TJ3204 | TJ4001 | TJ4903 | TU0104 | TU0203 | TU0204 | TU0301 | TU0306 | TU0405 | TU0407 | TU0501 | TU0503 | TU1404 | TU3102 | WM1004 | WM1501 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| MS | ⊃ | 55 | 2 | \Box | \Box | \Box | \Box | | | | 22 | 0.0 | 0. | 2 | ñ | 2 | 90 | ~ | Ű | 0. | <i>с</i> | 8 | ø | 5 | | 55 | 4 | ∩ţ | \Box | |
| ICP-MS | 0.24 U | 0.55 | 0.37 | 0.25 U | 0.25 U | 0.25 U | 0.27 U | 0.25 U | 0.25 U | 0.25 U | 0.62 | 219.0 | 237.0 | 4.5 | 0.33 | 1.2 | 0.56 | 6.1 | 0.43 U | 127.0 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 9.8 | 2.5 | 0.25 U | 0.55 | 1.4 | 0.24 U | 0.25 U | |
| XRF 60s ICP-MS Powder | | | | | 21 U 0.25 U | | | | | | | | | 76 U 4.5 | | | | | | | 66 U 1.3 | | | | | | 1.4 | 0.24 U | 0.25 U | |
| _ | 1 | ł | ł | ł | 21 U | 22 U | ł | 20 U | 20 U | 86 U | ł | 136 | 170 | | 37 U | ł | 1 | 1 | ł | 1 | 66 U | 37 U | 30 U | 49 U | 19 U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| XRF 60s Powder | N 68 | 27 U | N 68 | 27 U | 21 U | 17 U 22 U | 29 U | 19 U 20 U | 22 U 20 U | 101 U 86 U | 103 U | 165 136 | 170 | 76 U | 37 U | N 96 | 50 U | 22 | 37 U | | 59 U 66 U | 37 U | 23 30 U | 49 U | 17 U 19 U | 93 U | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 60s XRF 60s XRF 60s nd Stand Powder | N 68 | 27 U | N 68 | 27 U 27 U | 20 U 21 U | 21 U 17 U 22 U | 25 U 29 U | 25 U 19 U 20 U | 23 U 22 U 20 U | 121 U 101 U 86 U | 103 U | 165 136 | 188 170 | 115 U 76 U | 65 U 37 U | I 107 U 96 U | 50 U | 23 U 22 | 37 U | | 59 U 66 U | 34 U 37 U | 23 30 U | 36 U 49 U | 17 U 19 U | 93 U | U 41 U | U 78 U | U 40 U | U = undetected at level indicated. |

| (III | |
|-----------------------|--|
| d | |
| l values p | |
| all | |
| \sim | |
| 13052 | |
| Method | |
| oles, N | |
| Samp | |
| tals | |
| s for Non-Met | |
| Z | |
| s for | |
| Result | |
| Lead Results for Non- | |
| -5. I | |
| E-5 | |
| Table E | |

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS | Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| AZ0801 | 1,193 | 2,772 | 2,773 | 1 | 2430 | TG0901 | 13 U | 6 U | 1 | 1 | 1.2 |
| AZ0804 | 152 | 6 U | 7 U | | 1.3 | TG1001 | 0 G | 5 U | 7 U | I | 0.41 J |
| AZ0807 | 6,169 | 5,691 | 6,285 | | 4790 | TG1002 | 24 U | 19 U | 20 U | I | 0.1 U |
| AZ0808 | 6 U | 5 U | 4 U | ł | 0.9 | TG2301 | 12 U | 5 U | 10 U | ł | 1.2 J |
| AZ1201 | 12 | 4 U | 4 U | 5 U | 0.25 U | TJ0103 | 332 | 596 | 621 | ł | 53.2 |
| AZ1308 | 68 | 5 U | 4 U | 4 U | 0.25 U | TJ0104 | 291 | 13 U | 14 U | ł | 2.7 |
| AZ1604 | 225 | 200 | 328 | | 290 | TJ0403 | 13 U | 8 U | 0 G | 0 G | 0.4 J |
| AZ1702 | 8 U | 5 U | 4 U | 4 U | 0.46 | TJ0701 | 10 | ω | 10 | 13 | 6 |
| AZ1804 | 11 U | 4 U | 5 U | 5 U | 0.25 U | TJ0906 | 18 U | 14 U | 12 U | ł | 0.21 |
| AZ2007 | 21 U | 19 U | 15 U | 13 U | 3.2 | TJ1403 | 0 G | 7 | 10 | 11 | 7.6 |
| AZ2011 | 372 | 247 | 252 | 1 | 217 | TJ2402 | 3,177 | 2,444 | 2,891 | I | 3440 |
| AZ2324 | 143 | ł | 149 | 198 | 135 | TJ2901 | 190 | I | 146 | 187 | 128 |
| AZ2325 | 547 | ł | 564 | 644 | 425 | TJ3204 | 12 U | I | 9 | 5 | 6.1 |
| AZ2326 | 238 | ł | 266 | 262 | 178 | TJ4001 | 0 G | 4 U | 5 U | 1 | 0.39 |
| AZ2333 | 25 U | 1 | 45 U | 37 U | 17.5 | TJ4903 | 6,699 | 11,364 | 9,872 | ł | 7470 |
| AZ2401 | 485 | 586 | 524 | ł | 502 | TU0104 | 42 U | 46 | 61 U | ł | 0.57 |
| BL1301 | 18 U | 12 U | 25 U | ł | 15.8 | TU0203 | 36 U | 0 G | 11 U | ł | 4 |
| BL2308 | 7 U | 5 U | 6 U | ł | 0.71 J | TU0204 | 38 U | 46 U | 70 U | ł | 0.61 |
| CL0902 | 26 U | 5 U | 10 U | | 1.1 | TU0301 | 6 U | 5 U | 8 U | ł | 0.25 J |
| DT1601 | 147 | ł | ł | | 46.8 | TU0306 | 46 U | 48 U | 64 U | ł | 0.93 |
| DT2002 | 134 | 85 | 132 | 150 | 117 | TU0405 | 8 U | 6 U | 15 U | ł | 0.32 |
| DT3001 | 152 | 59 | 71 | 84 | 70.2 | TU0407 | 6 U | 5 U | 0 G | ł | 0.45 J |
| DT4202 | 40 U | 28 | 36 U | 32 U | 6.1 | TU0501 | 2,262 | 2,029 | 1,624 | ł | 1270 |
| DT4502 | 226 | 289 | 480 | 449 | 333 | TU0503 | 898 | 0 G | 10 U | ł | 1.2 |
| DT6402 | 15 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 0.25 U | TU1404 | 7 U | 5 U | 8 U | ł | 0.34 J |
| DT6403 | 52 | 88 | 81 | | 61.7 | TU3102 | 16 U | б | 10 U | | 2.8 |
| DT6404 | 1,302 | 6 U | 8 U | | 0.7 | WM1004 | 18 U | 7 U | 22 U | | 0.42 J |
| DT6701 | 17 U | 11 U | 12 U | ł | 0.73 | WM1501 | 13 U | 0 O | 8 U | 0 U | 0.1 U |
| DT9404 | 8 U | 5 U | 0 G | ł | 0.36 | | | | | | |
| $\overline{U} = undete$ | = undetected at level indicated | l indicated. | | | | | | | | | |
| J = report r | J = report result is an estimate. | stimate. | | | | | | | | | |

Table E-6. Mercury Results for Non-Metals Samples, Method 3052 (all values ppm).

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS | Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| AZ0801 | 38 U | 39 U | 37 U | 1 | 0.005 U | TG0901 | 33 U | 0 G | 1 | 1 | 0.005 U |
| AZ0804 | 24 U | 0 G | 14 U | 1 | 0.005 U | TG1001 | 17 U | 8 U | 16 U | I | 0.005 U |
| AZ0807 | 45 U | 45 U | 42 U | 1 | 0.017 | TG1002 | 39 U | 36 U | 32 U | I | 0.015 U |
| AZ0808 | 11 U | 8 U | 8 U | 1 | 0.005 U | TG2301 | 28 U | 10 U | 32 U | I | 0.005 U |
| AZ1201 | 18 U | 8 U | 10 U | 10 U | 0.006 U | TJ0103 | 35 U | 30 U | 28 U | 1 | 0.005 U |
| AZ1308 | 11 U | 8 U | 7 U | 10 U | 0.013 U | TJ0104 | 40 U | 28 U | 34 U | I | 0.005 U |
| AZ1604 | 12 U | 0 G | 11 U | 1 | 0.019 U | TJ0403 | 34 U | 14 U | 15 U | 19 U | 0.019 U |
| AZ1702 | 18 U | 0 G | 7 U | 8 U | 0.005 U | TJ0701 | 15 U | 12 U | 11 U | 12 U | 0.005 U |
| AZ1804 | 16 U | 10 U | 11 U | 0 G | 0.005 U | TJ0906 | 48 U | 34 U | 37 U | I | 0.026 U |
| AZ2007 | 54 U | 45 U | 37 U | 34 U | 0.005 U | TJ1403 | 14 U | 7 U | 8 U | 0 G | 0.006 U |
| AZ2011 | 58 U | 37 U | 37 U | 1 | 0.014 | TJ2402 | 14 U | 14 U | 27 U | 1 | 0.010 U |
| AZ2324 | 45 U | | 38 U | 33 U | 0.005 U | TJ2901 | 31 U | ł | 22 U | 21 U | 0.134 |
| AZ2325 | 51 U | | 43 U | 32 U | 0.010 U | TJ3204 | 14 U | 1 | 8 U | 8 U | 0.005 U |
| AZ2326 | 39 U | ł | 36 U | 27 U | 0.010 U | TJ4001 | 18 U | 0 G | 11 U | I | 0.014 |
| AZ2333 | 62 U | | 94 U | 81 U | 0.010 U | TJ4903 | 69 U | 55 U | 53 U | 1 | 0.005 U |
| AZ2401 | 39 U | 33 U | 35 U | ł | 0.011 | TU0104 | 29 U | 21 U | 67 U | | 0.007 UJ |
| BL1301 | 40 U | 25 U | 44 U | ł | 0.005 U | TU0203 | 34 U | 14 U | 22 U | | 0.025 |
| BL2308 | 12 U | 8 U | 14 U | 1 | 0.007 U | TU0204 | 31 U | 22 U | 87 U | ł | 0.005 UJ |
| CL0902 | 602 | 0 G | 25 U | 1 | 0.041 U | TU0301 | 13 U | 10 U | 18 U | | 0.006 U |
| DT1601 | 18 U | | ł | 1 | 0.073 U | TU0306 | 31 U | 24 U | 63 U | | 0.005 UJ |
| DT2002 | 30 U | 15 U | 18 U | 19 U | 0.123 | TU0405 | 11 U | N 6 | 35 U | | 0.022 U |
| DT3001 | 18 U | 11 U | 10 U | 11 U | 0.057 | TU0407 | 11 U | N 6 | 23 U | | 0.005 U |
| DT4202 | 21 U | 18 U | 18 U | 17 U | 0.005 U | TU0501 | 35 U | 27 U | 22 U | | 0.015 |
| DT4502 | 14 U | 11 U | 12 U | 15 U | 060.0 | TU0503 | 38 U | 22 U | 27 U | | 0.005 UJ |
| DT6402 | 0 G | 8 U | 7 U | 8 U | 0.005 U | TU1404 | 13 U | 0 G | 16 U | | 0.005 U |
| DT6403 | 45 U | 35 U | 34 U | ł | 0.005 | TU3102 | 22 U | 10 U | 15 U | ł | 0.015 |
| DT6404 | 44 U | 0 G | 22 U | ł | 0.005 U | WM1004 | 49 U | 11 U | 103 U | | 0.005 U |
| DT6701 | 37 U | 28 U | 27 U | 1 | 0.008 U | WM1501 | 37 U | 17 U | 14 U | 19 U | 0.017 U |
| DT9404 | 18 U | 0 O | 24 U | - | 0.011 U | | | | | | |
| U = undetected at level indicated | ted at level | l indicated. | | | | | | | | | |

U = undetected at level indicated. UJ = undetected at the level indicated; level is an estimate.

| (all values ppm). |
|---------------------|
| ples, Method 3052 |
| for Non-Metals Samj |
| lenum Results for |
| Table E-7. Molybc |

| | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS | Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 30s XRF 60s Screen Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 20801 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 191.0 | TG0901 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.2 U |
| | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 20804 | | 1 | | | 33.9 | TG1001 | 1 | ł | ł | | 0.1 U |
| | | 20807 | 1 | | ł | - | 0.2 U | TG1002 | I | ł | ł | I | 0.1 U |
| | | Z0808 | ł | ł | ł | ł | 3.1 J | TG2301 | ł | 1 | 1 | ł | 0.1 U |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Z1201 | 1 | | ł | - | 0.2 U | TJ0103 | I | ł | ł | I | 0.2 U |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Z1308 | ł | ł | ł | | 0.3 U | TJ0104 | ł | ł | ł | ł | 0.2 U |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Z1604 | 1 | | ł | - | 0.66 J | TJ0403 | 245 U | ł | ł | I | 0.5 U |
| 0.2 U T.0906 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Z1702 | 1 | | ł | - | 0.2 U | TJ0701 | I | ł | ł | I | 0.2 U |
| - - - - 0.4 U TJ1403 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | | Z1804 | 1 | | ł | - | 0.2 U | TJ0906 | I | ł | ł | I | 0.1 U |
| 399U 167U -0.35 7J2402 <td>399 1 167 U 0.35 TJ2402 </td> <td>Z2007</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>ł</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.4 U</td> <td>TJ1403</td> <td>I</td> <td>ł</td> <td>ł</td> <td>I</td> <td>0.2 U</td> | 399 1 167 U 0.35 TJ2402 | Z2007 | 1 | | ł | - | 0.4 U | TJ1403 | I | ł | ł | I | 0.2 U |
| 46 U 62 U 0.3 U 7.12901 | 46 U 62 U 0.3 U TJ2901 | Z2011 | 399 U | 167 U | ł | 1 | 0.35 | TJ2402 | 1 | ł | 1 | I | 0.2 U |
| 43U | 43U 35U 0.2U TJ3204 < | Z2324 | 46 U | | 62 U | - | 0.3 U | TJ2901 | I | ł | ł | I | 2.8 |
| 49U 0.2U TJ4001 0.2U TJ4001 0.2U TJ4903 60U 253U 0.2U TJ4903 60U 0.1U TU0104 0.1U TU0203 0.1U TU0203 | 49 U 0.2 U T.4001 - | Z2325 | 43 U | | 35 U | - | 0.2 U | TJ3204 | I | ł | ł | I | 0.2 U |
| | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Z2326 | 49 U | | ł | - | 0.2 U | TJ4001 | ł | ł | ł | I | 0.2 U |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Z2333 | ł | ł | ł | | 0.2 U | TJ4903 | 60 U | ł | 253 U | ł | 0.2 U |
| | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Z2401 | | 1 | | | 1.4 | TU0104 | 1 | ł | ł | | 0.2 U |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | L1301 | | - | I | 1 | 0.4 J | TU0203 | | ł | ł | | 0.2 U |
| | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | _2308 | 1 | ł | ł | 1 | 0.1 U | TU0204 | 1 | ł | 1 | I | 0.2 U |
| | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | L0902 | 1 | | ł | - | 0.4 U | TU0301 | I | ł | 1 | I | 0.1 U |
| | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | T1601 | 1 | | ł | - | 1.0 U | TU0306 | I | ł | ł | I | 0.2 U |
| Image: state of the state | 1.5 TU0407 | T2002 | ł | ł | ł | | 2.6 | TU0405 | ł | 1 | ł | ł | 0.1 U |
| 0.2 U TU0501 213 U 169 U 1.9 TU0503 160 U 124 U 0.2 U TU1404 0.2 U TU1404 0.2 U TU13102 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1501 219 U | 0.2 U TU0501 213 U 169 U 1.9 TU0503 160 U 124 U 0.2 U TU1404 0.2 U TU1404 363 U 0.2 U TU3102 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 | T3001 | 1 | | ł | | 1.5 | TU0407 | I | ł | 1 | I | 0.2 U |
| 1.9 TU0503 160 U 124 U 0.2 U TU1404 0.2 U TU3102 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1501 219 U | 1.9 TU0503 160 U 124 U 0.2 U TU1404 0.2 U TU1404 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1004 <td>T4202</td> <td>ł</td> <td>ł</td> <td>ł</td> <td> </td> <td>0.2 U</td> <td>TU0501</td> <td>213 U</td> <td>169 U</td> <td>ł</td> <td>ł</td> <td>0.2 U</td> | T4202 | ł | ł | ł | | 0.2 U | TU0501 | 213 U | 169 U | ł | ł | 0.2 U |
| | 0.2 U TU1404 0.2 U TU3102 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1501 219 U 0.2 U WM1501 219 U 0.2 U WM1501 219 U | T4502 | | 1 | ł | 1 | 1.9 | TU0503 | 160 U | 124 U | ł | ł | 0.7 J |
| 0.2 U TU3102 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1501 219 U 0.2 U | 0.2 U TU3102 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1501 219 U 0.2 U WM1501 219 U 0.2 U WM1501 219 U tected at level indicated. 0.2 U | T6402 | | 1 | ł | 1 | 0.2 U | TU1404 | ł | ł | ł | ł | 0.1 U |
| 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 0.2 U WM1501 219 U 0.2 U | 363 U 0.2 U WM1004 | T6403 | | 1 | ł | 1 | 0.2 U | TU3102 | ł | ł | ł | ł | 0.2 U |
| 0.2 U WM1501 219 U 0.2 U | 0.2 U WM1501 219 U | T6404 | 363 U | 1 | ł | 1 | 0.2 U | WM1004 | ł | ł | ł | ł | 0.1 U |
| | tected at level indicated. | T6701 | | | ł | | 0.2 U | WM1501 | 219 U | - | - | 1 | 0.5 U |
| | - undetected at level indicated. | T9404 | 1 | | ł | - | 0.2 U | | | | | | |

J = report result is an estimate.

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| AZ0503 | 236 U | 162 U | 151 U | | 0.5 J |
| AZ0504 | 14,972 | 9,260 | 3,265 | | 5,470 |
| AZ1605 | 109 U | 85 U | 82 U | | 2.1 |
| AZ2013 | 147 U | 78 U | 149 U | | 0.2 U |
| BL2408 | 156 U | 43 U | 90 U | | 0.6 J |
| CL0201 | 208 U | 42 U | 187 U | | 2.6 |
| CL1601 | 163 U | 110 U | 111 U | | 1.8 |
| TJ4701 | 192 U | 131 U | 144 U | | 0.2 UJ |
| TJ4801 | 6,820 | 2,558 | 6,769 | | 1,640 |
| TJ4902 | 169 U | 41 U | 136 U | | 0.2 U |
| TU1302 | 42 U | 46 U | 139 U | | 0.6 J |
| TU2307 | 132 U | 98 U | 110 U | | 8.9 |
| TU2406 | 70 U | 144 U | 132 U | | 0.2 U |
| WM0601 | 118 | 61 U | 80 | | 42.2 |
| WM0606 | 106 U | 33 U | 105 U | | 2.0 U |

Table E-8. Antimony Results for Metal Samples, Method 3050B (all values ppm).

U = undetected at level indicated.

UJ = undetected at the level indicated; level is an estimate.

J = report result is an estimate.

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|--|
| AZ0503 | 602 | 421 U | 408 U | | 17.6 | |
| AZ0504 | 2990 U | 1,368 U | 603 U | | 115 | |
| AZ1605 | 86 U | 66 U | 46 | | 62.8 | |
| AZ2013 | 1,561 | 1,059 | 1,317 | | 19.8 | |
| BL2408 | 109 U | 23 U | 66 U | | 20.9 | |
| CL0201 | 151 U | 21 | 152 U | | 26.4 | |
| CL1601 | 104 U | 66 U | 76 U | | 15.3 | |
| TJ4701 | 157 U | 102 U | 105 U | | 17 | |
| TJ4801 | 1,639 U | 593 U | 1,265 U | | 8.7 J | |
| TJ4902 | 1,497 | 292 | 1,559 | | 17 | |
| TU1302 | 572 | 354 | 194 U | | 6.5 | |
| TU2307 | 114 U | 83 U | 79 U | | 91.5 | |
| TU2406 | 1,392 | 1,283 | 1,267 | | 17 | |
| WM0601 | 159 | 52 149 | | | 236 | |
| WM0606 | 447 | 25 U | 167 U | | 12.7 | |

U = undetected at level indicated.

J = report result is an estimate.

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| AZ0503 | 110 U | 79 U | 59 | | 22.1 |
| AZ0504 | 95 U | 69 U | 28 U | | 13.8 |
| AZ1605 | 44 U | 35 U | 35 U | | 0.1 U |
| AZ2013 | 63 U | 33 U | 61 U | | 7.4 |
| BL2408 | 67 U | 23 U | 39 U | | 0.1 U |
| CL0201 | 85 U | 22 U | 91 U | | 0.1 U |
| CL1601 | 65 U | 43 U | 48 U | | 0.1 U |
| TJ4701 | 85 U | 55 U | 67 U | | 7.3 |
| TJ4801 | 3,759 | 1,701 | 3,544 | | 4,830 |
| TJ4902 | 86 U | 22 U | 66 U | | 13.4 |
| TU1302 | 26 U | 26 U | 62 U | | 1.4 |
| TU2307 | 61 U | 39 U | 49 U | | 0.11 |
| TU2406 | 44 U | 61 U | 57 U | | 0.41 |
| WM0601 | 46 U | 26 U | 40 U | | 0.9 U |
| WM0606 | 41 U | 18 U | 44 U | | 1.0 U |

Table E-10. Cadmium Results for Metal Samples, Method 3050B (all values ppm).

_

U = undetected at level indicated.

Table E-11. Cobalt Results for Metal Samples, Method 3050B (all values ppm).

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|--|
| AZ0503 | 295 U | 231 U | 223 U | | 0.27 | |
| AZ0504 | 387 U | 226 U | 40 | | 0.9 U | |
| AZ1605 | 2,709 | 1,414 | 1,587 | | 61.4 | |
| AZ2013 | 277 U | 161 U | 245 U | | 0.14 | |
| BL2408 | 24,921 | 3,339 | 17,797 | | 74.8 | |
| CL0201 | 2,538 | 238 | 1,697 | | 43.3 | |
| CL1601 | 4,303 | 4,027 | 4,437 | | 11.1 | |
| TJ4701 | 414 U | 200 U | 196 U | | 0.1 U | |
| TJ4801 | 1,693 U | 631 U | 1,296 U | | 10.9 | |
| TJ4902 | 256 U | 29 U | 220 U | | 0.10 | |
| TU1302 | 132 U | 40 | 217 U | | 0.38 | |
| TU2307 | 3,031 | 2,774 | 3,240 | | 46.0 | |
| TU2406 | 189 | 218 U | 203 U | | 0.1 U | |
| WM0601 | 23,285 | 8,137 | 22,785 | | 106.0 | |
| WM0606 | 2,309 U | 573 | 4,679 | | 30.8 | |

U = undetected at level indicated.

| | | | | - | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS |
| AZ0503 | 445 | 345 | 307 | | 84.4 |
| AZ0504 | 203,095 | 64,379 | 40,240 | | 90800 |
| AZ1605 | 91 U | 59 | 32 U | | 0.7 J |
| AZ2013 | 4,096 | 3,777 | 12,365 | | 289 |
| BL2408 | 133 U | 19 | 83 U | | 0.2 J |
| CL0201 | 213 U | 19 U | 184 U | | 2.5 J |
| CL1601 | 99 | 90 U | 98 U | | 0.9 J |
| TJ4701 | 188 U | 130 U | 113 U | | 74.5 |
| TJ4801 | 29,704 | 16,035 | 28,093 | | 27900 |
| TJ4902 | 2,568 | 60 U | 2,456 | | 137 |
| TU1302 | 80 U | 58 U | 114 | | 18.3 |
| TU2307 | 128 | 97 | 174 | | 3.4 |
| TU2406 | 283 U | 1,106 | 1,505 | | 32.5 |
| WM0601 | 102 U | 44 U | 88 U | | 1.0 J |
| WM0606 | 51 U | 29 U | 73 U | | 1.4 J |

Table E-12. Lead Results for Metal Samples, Method 3050B (all values ppm).

U = undetected at level indicated.

J = report result is an estimate.

| Table E 13 | Moroury | Populte for I | Matal Same | alas Mathad | 3050B (| all values ppm). |
|-------------|---------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Table E-15. | withury | Results for I | victal Samp | pies, memou | JUJUD (8 | an values ppin). |

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|
| AZ0503 | 2,690 U | 2,176 U | 2,092 U | | 0.006 U |
| AZ0504 | 810 U | 614 U | 125 U | | 0.083 |
| AZ1605 | 236 U | 189 U | 178 U | | 0.005 U |
| AZ2013 | 1,107 U | 537 U | 1,925 U | | 0.006 |
| BL2408 | 378 U | 61 U | 222 U | | 0.006 U |
| CL0201 | 532 U | 62 U | 699 U | | 0.005 U |
| CL1601 | 313 U | 229 U | 258 U | | 0.005 U |
| TJ4701 | 760 | 387 | 522 | | 0.021 |
| TJ4801 | 537 U | 241 U | 487 U | | 0.005 UJ |
| TJ4902 | 988 U | 1,197 | 814 U | | 0.037 |
| TU1302 | 3,184 | 1,582 | 925 U | | 0.052 |
| TU2307 | 330 U | 211 U | 268 U | | 0.005 U |
| TU2406 | 6,884 | 509 U | 527 U | | 0.005 U |
| WM0601 | 300 U | 149 U | 305 U | | 0.005 U |
| WM0606 | 549 U | 202 | 893 U | | 0.005 U |

U = undetected at level indicated.

UJ = undetected at the level indicated; level is an estimate.

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen | XRF 60s Hand | XRF 60s Stand | XRF 60s Powder | ICP-MS | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|--|
| AZ0503 | 533 U | 328 U | 299 U | | 0.5 U | |
| AZ0504 | 588 U | 813 U | | | 4.7 U | |
| AZ1605 | 237 U | 177 U | 165 U | | 41.8 | |
| AZ2013 | 410 U | 501 U | 297 U | | 0.2 U | |
| BL2408 | 1,480 | | 984 U | | 4.9 U | |
| CL0201 | 386 U | | 826 U | | 7.6 | |
| CL1601 | 302 U | 227 U | 238 U | | 5.1 J | |
| TJ4701 | 693 U | 276 U | 358 U | | 0.5 U | |
| TJ4801 | 358 U | 143 U | 283 U | | 484.0 U | |
| TJ4902 | 863 U | | 715 U | | 0.5 U | |
| TU1302 | | | 348 U | | 0.5 U | |
| TU2307 | 280 U | 204 U | 215 U | | 35.8 | |
| TU2406 | | 294 U | 606 U | | 0.5 U | |
| WM0601 | 2,085 U | 903 U | 1,773 U | | 41.1 | |
| WM0606 | 206 U | | 216 U | | 9.1 | |

Table E-14. Molybdenum Results for Metal Samples, Method 3050B (all values ppm).

U = undetected at level indicated. J = report result is an estimate.

Appendix F. XRF and Laboratory Results for Bromine and PBDEs

| Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen Br | XRF 60s Hand Br | XRF 60s Stand Br | XRF 60s Powder Br | Lab PBDEs | Sample ID | XRF 30s Screen Br | XRF 60s Hand Br | XRF 60s Stand Br | XRF 60s Powder Br | Lab PBDEs |
|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| AZ0504 | 2,012 | 680 | 943 | | 1.6 UJ | TJ2301 | 7 U | 3.5 | 5 U | 3 U | 3.6 UJ |
| AZ1205 | 270 | 204 | 286 | 272 | 17 NJ | TJ2901 | 14,523 | | 14,000 | 13,770 | 902.4 J |
| AZ1305 | 11 | 3.1 | 4 U | 3 U | 4 U | TJ3204 | 550 | | 562 | 575 | 10 NJ |
| AZ1308 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3 U | 4 U | 3.8 U | TR0201 | 103,039 | 102,576 | 97,173 | 94,737 | 136.4 J |
| AZ1404 | 4 U | 3 U | 3 U | 3.8 | 3.6 UJ | TR0401 | 108,413 | 117,162 | 111,359 | 106,435 | 2200 J |
| AZ1804 | 673 | 3.1 | 4 U | 3 U | 0.6 NJ | TR0501 | 116,401 | 116,252 | 113,457 | 103,031 | 260 J |
| BL0201 | 4 U | 5.9 | 4 U | 10 | 3.5 NJ | TR0601 | 96,246 | 106,090 | 97,423 | 93,545 | 140 U |
| BL0303 | 293 | 5.0 | 5 U | 457 | 13 NJ | TR0701 | 112,383 | 117,822 | 113,453 | 99,796 | 33 J |
| BL1402 | 1,985 | 4 U | 5 U | 35 | 3.6 U | TR1101 | 115,297 | 119,409 | 115,658 | 107,615 | 190 J |
| BL1408 | 359 | 713 | 1,030 | 4 U | 3.2 U | TR1201 | 112,650 | 123,548 | 116,120 | 109,747 | 44 J |
| BL2101 | 592 | 222 | 441 | | 3.1 UJ | TR1401 | 78,652 | 76,314 | 83,638 | 79,037 | 81.2 J |
| CL0101 | 1,304 | 968 | 15 | | 6.2 U | TR2601 | 97,873 | 93,763 | 99,026 | 96,446 | 72 J |
| DT0404 | 331 | 320 | 402 | | 3.7 UJ | TR3001 | 111,248 | 113,935 | 112,283 | 113,118 | 63 J |
| DT0507 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 3 U | 4 U | 3.9 U | TR3101 | 112,868 | 115,630 | 113,189 | 107,695 | 37 J |
| DT2503 | 4 U | 3.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 7 J | TR3201 | 118,718 | 122,439 | 117,801 | 122,350 | 120 J |
| DT3001 | 235 | 629 | 736 | 788 | 35 UJ | TR3601 | 127,292 | 128,690 | 124,368 | 117,178 | 250 J |
| DT3501 | 590 | 517 | 782 | | 3.4 UJ | TU0104 | 24,634 | 19,291 | 20,629 | | 37 U |
| DT4202 | 15,312 | 13,770 | 15,076 | 13,568 | 492.7 J | TU0203 | 12,040 | 121 | 153 | | 3.2 UJ |
| DT4401 | 2,746 | 14 | 19 | 20 | 3.3 UJ | TU0204 | 18,367 | 27,895 | 18,722 | | 0.65 J |
| DT5201 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3 U | 3 U | 4 U | TU0301 | 5 U | 6.8 | 7 U | | 3.6 UJ |
| DT6701 | 32 | 9 U | 8 U | | 2 UJ | TU0306 | 21,473 | 25,261 | 21,232 | | 0.98 J |
| DT9204 | 592 | 596 | 674 | | 2.5 UJ | TU0405 | 300 | 248 | 330 | | 3.3 UJ |
| FM0101 | 12,279 | 11,331 | 11,132 | | 15140 J | TU0501 | 25 | 20 | 35 | | 2.5 J |
| TG0206 | 2,161 | 5.0 | 4 U | 3 U | 3.8 U | TU0504 | 1,620 | 1,406 | 1,724 | | 3.3 U |
| TG0901 | 115 | 198 | | | 2.7 UJ | TU0801 | 581 | 560 | 607 | | 3.4 UJ |
| TG1001 | 11 | 6.3 | 6 U | | 2.1 UJ | TU0902 | 104 | 2.5 | 5 U | 4 U | 3.6 UJ |
| TG1002 | 27 | 35 | 30 | | 300 U | TU0903 | 45 | 5 U | 7.5 | 3.2 | 3.1 UJ |
| TG2301 | 11 U | 5.5 | 12 U | | 2.5 UJ | TU1404 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 4.6 | | 2.7 UJ |
| TJ0202 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3 U | 14 U | 5.5 U | TU2207 | 621 | 1,275 | 1,603 | | 3.8 UJ |
| TJ0403 | 5 U | 5 U | 6 U | 7 U | 3.9 U | TU2503 | 316 | 117 U | 250 | | 1.5 UJ |
| TJ0701 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 4.7 | 3.3 UJ | TU3102 | 543 | 677 | 773 | | 390 J |
| TJ0801 | 20 | 13 | 23 | 29 | 3.9 UJ | WM1004 | 18 U | 7.7 | 37 U | | 3.5 UJ |
| TJ1403 | 6 U | 4.0 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 3.8 U | WM1501 | 4 U | 7 U | 5 U | 7 U | 3.7 U |
| TJ2001 | 14 U | 13 U | 9 U | 8.7 | 2.2 UJ | WM2203 | 5 U | 2.9 | 3 U | 14 | 4 UJ |

Table F-1. Results of XRF-measured bromine and lab-measured PBDEs (all values ppm).

U = undetected at level indicated. UJ = undetected at the level indicated; level is an estimate.

J = report result is an estimate. NJ = analyte was "tentatively identified" and reported result is approximate.